### St. Louis Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan 2020 – 2025

# Appendix D Jurisdiction Vulnerability Assessments



Creating Solutions Across Jurisdictional Boundaries



## St. Louis Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan Appendix D Jurisdiction Vulnerability Assessments

#### Table of Contents

D 1	Municipal Assessments	4
D 1	l.1 City of Arnold	5
D 1	L.2 City of Pacific	9
D 1	l.3 City of Wildwood	12
D 1	l.4 City of Ballwin	16
D 1	L.5 City of Brentwood	19
D 1	L.6 Village of Cedar Hill Lakes	22
D 1	1.7 City of Cottleville	25
D 1	1.8 City of Dardenne Prairie	29
D 1	l.9 City of Eureka	32
D 1	l.10 City of Kirkwood	36
D 1	l.11 City of Ladue	39
D 1	L.12 City of Lake St. Louis	43
D 1	L.13 City of Manchester	46
D 1	l.14 City of O'Fallon	49
D 1	l.15 City of Pasadena Hills	53
D 1	1.16 City of Portage Des Sioux	56
D 1	L.17 City of St. Charles	60
D 1	l.18 City of St. Clair	65
D 1	1.19 City of St. Peters	68
D 1	L.20 City of Town and Country	72
D 1	L 21 City of Union	75
D 1	1.22 City of Warson Woods	79
D 1	L.23 City of Wentzville	82
D 1	1.24 City of Winchester	86
D 1	1.25 City of De Soto	89
D 1	1.26 City of Chesterfield	92

D 1.28 City of Hazelwood	98
D 1.29 City of Maryland Heights	101
D 1.30 City of University City	104
D 1.31 City of Webster Groves	107
D 1.32 City of Breckenridge Hills	110
D 1.33 City of Creve Coeur	113
D 2 School District Assessments	116
D 2.1 Rockwood R-VI School District	117
D 2.2 St Clair R-XIII School District	120
D 2.3 Dunklin R – V School District	123
D 2.4 Fort Zumwalt R – II School District	126
D 2.5 Francis Howell R – III School District	129
D 2.6 Hancock Place School District	132
D 2.7 Hazelwood School District	135
D 2.8 Lindbergh School District	138
D 2.9 Northwest R – I School District	141
D 2.10 Ritenour School District	144
D 2.11 Valley Park School District	147
D 2.12 Washington School District	150
D 2.13 Meramec Valley R-III School District	153
D 3 Special District Assessments	156
D 3.1 Meramec Ambulance District	157

#### D 1 Municipal Assessments

Percentages to Use to Calculate Assessed Valuation Affected by Earthquake					
County	Modified Mercalli Classification by County	% to use if city is without liquefaction	% to use if there are liquefaction areas		
Franklin	VI	25	35		
St Charles, Jefferson	VII	35	40		
St. Louis City, St. Louis County	VIII	40	50		

#### D 1.1 City of Arnold

City of Arnold Hazard Assessments and Vulnerabilities				
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	Notes*	
	Summary & impact	Arnold is at high risk for flooding due to proximity to Mississippi and Meramec Rivers and has flooded in the past		
	Previous occurrences	May 15 – July 17, 2015; December 22, 2015 – January 09, 2016; December 23, 2015 – January 7, 2016; April 28, 2017 – May 11, 2017; April 29, 2019 – July 5, 2019	https://www.ncdc.noaa.go v/stormevents/	
Flood	Vulnerability	High	Previous flood occurrences warranted FEMA natural disaster declarations and resulted in property damage.	
	Location	Meramec, Mississippi, and Pomme Creek		
	Probability of future occurrences	High		
	Estimate of potential losses**	\$64,000,000		
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	1 nursing home/day care, 1 government building, five bridges, 1 railroad, 2 mobile home concentrations, 1 school	See Figure 19, Appendix B	

Land use and development trends:]	Like many areas of Jefferson County near I-55, Arnold is experiencing a roughly 10-year period of growth that has tapered off slightly in recent years. Most growth is residential in nature. The city remains a mix of commercial, industrial/transportation, and residential development with little agriculture
Repetitive losses	See Table 102

<sup>\*</sup>Absence of entry under Notes does not indicate missing data

Sources: East-West Gateway Council of Governments; Federal Emergency Management Agency; Missouri Department of Natural Resources; Missouri Spatial Data Information Service; U.S. Census Bureau

#### **City of Arnold Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities**

Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment
	Summary & impact	Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the City of Arnold
	Number	1
Sinkholes	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0
	Location	See Figure 43
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential Losses	\$0
1	Summary & impact	Levee breaches have had little to no impact
Levees	Number	1
	Location	See Figure 38 & Table 109

<sup>\*\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in flood-prone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	1 mobile home concentration, See Figure 21
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	High hazard potential dams do not have an impact
	Number	0
High hazard	Location	See figures 19 & 43
potential dams	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification	VII
	Location	See Figure 43
Earthquake	Liquefaction area(s) present	Yes
	Jefferson County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	4
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	All -See Figures 43, Appendix B
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low

Vulnerability	High
Potential losses*	\$180,000,000

<sup>\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area impacted. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

#### D 1.2 City of Pacific

City of Pacific* Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities					
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	Notes**		
	Summary & impact	Pacific is at high risk for flooding due to proximity to Meramec Rivers and has flooded in the past			
	Previous occurrences	December 26 - 28, 2015; January 1, 2016; April 30, 2017; May 1 - 3, 2017	https://www.ncdc.noaa.g ov/stormevents/		
	Vulnerability	High	Previous flood occurrences warranted FEMA natural disaster declarations and resulted in property damage		
Flood	Location	Meramec River and Brush Creek			
	Probability of future occurrences	High			
	Estimate of potential losses***	\$52,000,000	Estimated 40% of valuation		
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	2 railroads, 7 bridges, 2 government buildings, 1 mobile home concentration, 2 nursing homes/day care centers	occurrences warranted FEMA natural disaster declarations and resulted in property damage  Estimated 40% of valuation  See Figures 18 & 21, Appendix B  Home of the original		
	Land use and development trends	Development in Pacific increased by over 18% from 2014	Home of the original Bigfoot Monster Truck, revitalized Old Town, and new fitness center		
	Repetitive losses	See Tables 99 & 100			

<sup>\*</sup>A portion of the City of Pacific is also in St. Louis County
Sources: East-West Gateway Council of Governments; Federal Emergency Management Agency; Missouri
Department of Natural Resources; Missouri Spatial Data Information Service; U.S. Census Bureau

\*\*\*Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in flood-prone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

**City of Pacific Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities** 

Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment
пагаги	Valificability	Assessificial
	Summary & impact	Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the City of Pacific
	Number	1
Sinkholes	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0
	Location	See Figures 42 & 45
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential Losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Levee breaches have had little to no impact
	Number	0
Lawasa	Location	See Figure 38
Levees	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	High hazard potential dams do not have an impact
	Number	0
High hazard potential dams	Location	See Figures 18 & 42; Figures 21 & 45
	Critical facilities, buildings,	0
	infrastructure in hazard area	
	infrastructure in hazard area Severity	Low

	Potential losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification - Franklin County portion	VI
	Modified Mercalli classification - St. Louis County portion	VIII
Earthquake	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	All - See Figures 42 & 45, Appendix B
	Liquefaction area(s) present	Yes
	Franklin County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	3
	St, Louis County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Government buildings in liquefaction areas	2
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses*	\$45,000,000

<sup>\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area impacted. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

#### D 1.3 City of Wildwood

	City of Wildwood Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities				
Hazard	Vulnerability	Notes*			
	Summary & impact	Wildwood is at high risk for flooding due to its proximity to the Meramec River and Missouri River, however actual flooding impact has been low due to relatively low development in flood zones			
	Previous occurrences	December 27 - 31, 2015; January 1- 3, 2016; April 30, 2017; May 1 - 4, 2017	https://www.ncdc.noaa .gov/stormevents/		
Flood	Vulnerability	Medium	Previous flood occurrences warranted FEMA natural disaster declarations and resulted in some property damage		
1.000	Location	Meramec River, Fox Creek, Carr Creek, Hamilton Creek, Missouri River, Tavern Creek, Wildhorse Creek, Bonhomme Creek, and Caulks Creek			
	Probability of future occurrences	High			
	Estimate of potential losses**	\$58,000,000	Estimated 5% of valuation		
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	36 bridges, 1 school, 1 government building, 4 nursing home/day care, 1 railroad	See Figure 21, Appendix B		

Land use and development trends	Development in Wildwood increased by 26% from 2014
Repetitive losses	See Tables 105 & 106

<sup>\*</sup>Absence of entry under Notes does not indicate missing data

City of Wildwood Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities

Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment
	Summary & impact	Known sinkholes have little to no impact to the City
	Number	6
Sinkholes	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	1 nursing home/ day care
	Location	See Figure 45
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Medium
	Potential Losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Levee breaches have had little to no impact
	Number	1
	Location	See Figure 38 & Table 109
Levees	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	1 railroad
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Medium
	Potential losses	\$0

<sup>\*\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in flood-prone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

	Summary & impact	High hazard potential dams do have some potential to impact within city boundaries, however, there have been no dam failures in the past
High hazard	Number	8
potential dams	Location	See Figures 21 & 45
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	1 nursing home/ daycare
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Medium
	Potential losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification	VIII
Earthquake	Location	See Figure 45
Eartiiquake	Liquefaction area(s) present	Yes
	St. Louis County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	All - See Figure 45, Appendix B
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses*	\$578,000,000

\*Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area impacted. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

#### D 1.4 City of Ballwin

D 114 O	City of Ballwin Hazard Assessments and Vulnerabilities				
11	•		Notes*		
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	Notes .		
	Summary risk statement	Ballwin is at medium risk for flooding due to proximity to Fishpot and Grand Glaize Creeks			
	Previous occurrences	None	https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/		
	Vulnerability	High			
	Location	Fishpot Creek and Grand Glaize Creek			
Flood	Probability of future occurrences	High			
	Estimate of potential losses** \$7	\$700,000	1% of assessed value		
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	1 nursing home, 10 bridges, 1 major road	See Figure 21		
	Land use and development trends	Development in Ballwin increased by 37% from 2014.	It is primarily a residential area. Commercial development continues along Manchester Road.		
	Repetitive losses	See Table 105 & 106			

<sup>\*</sup>Absence of entry under Notes does not indicate missing data

<sup>\*\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in floodprone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

City of Ballwin Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities				
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment		
	Summary & impact	Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the City of Ballwin		
	Number	1		
Sinkholes	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0		
	Location	See Figure 45		
	Severity	Low		
	Vulnerability	Low		
	Potential Losses	\$0		
	Summary & impact	With no levees present there is no impact to the City of Ballwin		
	Number	0		
Levees	Location	See Figure 38		
Levees	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0		
	Severity	Low		
	Vulnerability	Low		
	Potential losses	\$0		
	Summary & impact	With no high hazard potential dams present there is no impact to the City of Ballwin		
	Number	0		
High hazard potential	Location	See figures 21 & 45		
dams	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0		
	Severity	Low		
	Vulnerability	Low		
	Potential losses	\$0		

	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification - St. Louis County	VIII
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	All - See Figure 45, Appendix B
Earthquake	Liquefaction area(s) present	No
	St. Louis County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Government buildings in liquefaction areas	0
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses*	\$278,000,000

<sup>\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area affected. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

#### D 1.5 City of Brentwood

D 1.5 C	City of Brentwood  City of Brentwood Hazard Assessments and Vulnerabilities				
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	Notes*		
	Summary risk statement	Brentwood is at high risk for flooding due to proximity to Black Creek and Deer Creek			
	Previous occurrences	December 26-27, 2015	https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/		
	Vulnerability	High			
	Location	Black Creek and Deer Creek			
	Probability of future occurrences	High			
Flood	Estimate of potential losses**	\$18,000,000	5% of assessed value		
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	3 nursing homes, 2 bridges, 1 school, 1 government building, 1 major road	See figure 21		
	Land use and development trends	Development in Brentwood increased by 34% from 2014.	The Brentwood Bound Project is improving Manchester Road as well as stormwater management in the city.		
	Repetitive losses	See Table 105 & 106			

<sup>\*</sup>Absence of entry under Notes does not indicate missing data

<sup>\*\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in flood-prone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

City of Brentwood Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities				
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment		
	Summary & impact	Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the City of Brentwood		
	Number	30		
Sinkholes	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	3 schools, 3 nursing homes, 1 railroad		
	Location	See Figure 45		
	Severity	Low		
	Vulnerability	High		
	Potential Losses	\$0		
	Summary & impact	With no levees present there is no impact to the City of Brentwood		
	Number	0		
	Location	See Figure 38		
Levees	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0		
	Severity	Low		
	Vulnerability	Low		
	Potential losses	\$0		
	Summary & impact	With no high hazard potential dams present there is no impact to the City of Brentwood		
	Number	0		
High hazard	Location	See figures 21 & 45		
potential dams	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0		
	Severity	Low		
	Vulnerability	Low		
	Potential losses	\$0		
		, -		

	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification - St. Louis County	VIII
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	All - See Figure 45, Appendix B
Earthquake	Liquefaction area(s) present	Yes
	St. Louis County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Government buildings in liquefaction areas	1
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses*	\$175,000,000

<sup>\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area affected. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

#### D 1.6 Village of Cedar Hill Lakes

	Village of Cedar Hill Lakes Hazard Assessments and Vulnerabilities			
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	Notes*	
	Summary risk statement	Cedar Hill Lakes is at medium risk for flooding due to proximity to Skullbones Creek		
	Previous occurrences	None	https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/	
	Vulnerability	Medium		
	Location	Skullbones Creek		
Flood	Probability of future occurrences	High		
	Estimate of potential losses**	\$157,000	10% of assessed value	
	Critical facilities,	See figure 19		
	Land use and development trends	Development in Cedar Hills Lake increased by 9.4% from 2014	Residential development has occurred.	
	Repetitive losses	See Table 101 & 102		

<sup>\*</sup>Absence of entry under Notes does not indicate missing data

<sup>\*\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in flood-prone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

Village of Cedar Hill Lakes Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities				
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment		
	Summary & impact	With no sinkholes present there is no impact to the Village of Cedar Hill Lakes		
	Number	0		
Sinkholes	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0		
	Location	See Figure 43		
	Severity	Low		
	Vulnerability	Low		
	Potential Losses	\$0		
	Summary & impact	With no levees present there is no impact to the Village of Cedar Hill Lakes		
	Number	0		
	Location	See Figure 38		
Levees	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0		
	Severity	Low		
	Vulnerability	Medium		
	Potential losses	\$0		
	Summary & impact	Existing high hazard potential dams have little impact on the Village of Cedar Hill Lakes		
	Number	3		
High hazard	Location	See figures 19 & 43		
potential dams	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0		
	Severity	Low		
	Vulnerability	Medium		
	Potential losses	\$0		

	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification - Jefferson County	VII
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	All - See Figure 43, Appendix B
Earthquake	Liquefaction area(s) present	Yes
	Jefferson County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	4
	Government buildings in liquefaction areas	0
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses*	\$625,000

<sup>\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area affected. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

#### D 1.7 City of Cottleville

D 1.7 C	City of Cottleville Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities				
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	Notes*		
	Summary & impact	Cottleville is at medium risk for flooding due to proximity to Dardenne Creek and has flooded in the past			
	Previous occurrences	June 26, 2011; May 20, 2013; December 26, 2015; December 27 - 28, 2015; December 27 - 31, 2015; January 1-2, 2016; July 10, 2019	https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/		
Flood	Vulnerability	Medium	Previous flood occurrences warranted FEMA natural disaster declarations and resulted in property damage		
	Location	Dardenne Creek			
	Probability of future occurrences	High			
	Estimate of potential losses**	\$65,000,000	Estimated 40% of valuation		
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	3 bridges, 1 government building, 2 nursing homes/day care centers	See Figure 20, Appendix B		

Land use and development trends	Development in Cottleville has increased by over 48% from 2014	Single family subdivisions, condos and apartments are being added to the city along Mid Rivers Mall Drive, Cottleville Parkway and adjacent to St. Charles Community College campus. Additional development is expected in city at the completion of MO 364 - Gutermuth Road interchange.
Repetitive losses	See Tables 103 & 104	

<sup>\*</sup>Absence of entry under Notes does not indicate missing data

<sup>\*\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in flood-prone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

City of Co	City of Cottleville Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities			
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment		
	Summary & impact	Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the City of Cottleville		
	Number	0		
Sinkholes	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0		
	Location	See Figure 44		
	Severity	Low		
	Vulnerability	Low		
	Potential Losses	\$0		
	Summary & impact	Levee breaches have had little to no impact		
	Number	0		
	Location	See Figure 38		
Levees	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0		
	Severity	Low		
	Vulnerability	Low		
	Potential losses	\$0		
	Summary & impact	High hazard potential dams do not have an impact		
	Number	0		
High hazard potential dams	Location	See Figures 20 & 44		
F235	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0		
	Severity	Low		
	Vulnerability	Low		
	Potential losses	\$0		

	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification -St. Charles County	VII
Earthquake	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	All - See Figure 44, Appendix B
	Liquefaction area(s) present	Yes
	St. Charles County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Government buildings in liquefaction areas	1
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses*	\$65,000,000

<sup>\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area affected. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

#### D 1.8 City of Dardenne Prairie

o City	City of Dardenne Prairie Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities				
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	Notes*		
	Summary & impact	Dardenne Prairie is at medium risk for flooding due to proximity to Dardenne Creek			
	Previous occurrences	N/A	https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/		
	Vulnerability	Medium	Previous flood occurrences warranted FEMA natural disaster declarations and resulted in property damage		
	Location	Dardenne Creek			
Flood	Probability of future occurrences	High			
	Estimate of potential losses**	\$63,000,000	Estimated 20% of valuation		
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	4 bridges, 2 nursing homes/day care centers	See Figure 20, Appendix B		
	Land use and development trends	Development in Dardenne Prairie has increased by over 20% from 2014	Residential developments are occurring; city continues to explore development and redevelopment opportunities		
	Repetitive losses	See Tables 103 & 104			

<sup>\*</sup>Absence of entry under Notes does not indicate missing data

Sources: East-West Gateway Council of Governments; Federal Emergency Management Agency; Missouri Department of Natural Resources; Missouri Spatial Data Information Service; U.S. Census Bureau

**City of Dardenne Prairie Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities** 

<sup>\*\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in flood-prone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment
	Summary & impact	Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the City of Dardenne Prairie
	Number	0
Sinkholes	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0
	Location	See Figure 44
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential Losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Levee breaches have had little to no impact
	Number	0
	Location	See Figure 38
Levees	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	High hazard potential dams do not have an impact
	Number	0
High hazard potential dams	Location	See Figures 20 & 44
potential dams	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0

	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification - St. Charles County	VII
Earthquake	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	All - See Figure 44, Appendix B
	Liquefaction area(s) present	Yes
	St. Charles County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Government buildings in liquefaction areas	0
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses*	\$126,000,000

<sup>\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area affected. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

#### D 1.9 City of Eureka

	City of Eureka Hazard Assessments and Vulnerabilities				
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	Notes*		
	Summary risk statement	Eureka is at high risk for flooding due to proximity to the Meramec River			
	Previous occurrences	December 26-27, 2015; December 27- 31, 2015; December 28, 2015; January 1- 3, 2016; April 30, 2017; May 1, 2017; July 22, 2019; August 26, 2019; August 30, 2019	https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/		
	Vulnerability	High			
	Location	Meramec River, Fox Creek, Flat Creek, and Forby Creek			
Flood	Probability of future occurrences	High			
	Estimate of potential losses**	\$28,000,000	10% of assessed value		
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	6 bridges, 3 schools, 1 railroad, 1 waste water facility, 1 interstate	See figure 21		
	Land use and development trends	Development in Eureka has increased by 30% from 2014.	A St. Louis County Library branch is under construction as well as residential developments to the West and South. Commercial development continues along I-44		
	Repetitive losses	See Table 105 & 106			

<sup>\*</sup>Absence of entry under Notes does not indicate missing data

\*\*Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in flood-prone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

City of Eureka Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities				
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment		
	Summary & impact	Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the City of Eureka		
	Number	1		
Sinkholes	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0		
	Location	See Figure 45		
	Severity	Low		
	Vulnerability	Low		
	Potential Losses	\$0		
	Summary & impact	With no levees present there is no impact to the City of Eureka		
	Number	0		
	Location	See Figure 38		
Levees	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0		
	Severity	Low		
	Vulnerability	Low		
	Potential losses	\$0		
	Summary & impact	With no high hazard potential dams present there is no impact to the City of Eureka		
	Number	0		
High hazard	Location	See figures 21 & 45		
potential dams	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0		
	Severity	Low		
	Vulnerability	Low		
	Potential losses	\$0		

	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification - St. Louis County	VIII
Earthquake	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	All - See Figure 45, Appendix B
Laitiiquake	Liquefaction area(s) present	Yes
	St. Louis County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Government buildings in liquefaction areas	0
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses*	\$140,000,000

<sup>\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area affected. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas.. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

#### D 1.10 City of Kirkwood

	City of Kirkwood Hazard Assessments and Vulnerabilities				
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	Notes*		
	Summary risk statement	Kirkwood is at medium risk for flooding due to proximity to the Meramec River			
	Previous occurrences	July 17, 2013	https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/		
	Vulnerability	High			
	Location	Meramec River and Sugar Creek			
Flood	Probability of High future occurrences	High			
rioda	Estimate of potential losses**	\$10,000,000	1% of assessed value		
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	7 bridges, 1 railroad	See figure 21		
	Land use and development trends	Development in Kirkwood has increased by 46% from 2014.	Redevelopment along Kirkwood Road includes apartments and retail space.		
	Repetitive losses	See Table 105 & 106			

<sup>\*</sup>Absence of entry under Notes does not indicate missing data

<sup>\*\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in flood-prone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

City of Kirkwood Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities			
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	
	Summary & impact	Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the City of Kirkwood	
	Number	6	
Sinkholes	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0	
	Location	See Figure 45	
	Severity	Low	
	Vulnerability	Medium	
	Potential Losses	\$0	
	Summary & impact	With no levees present there is no impact to the City of Kirkwood	
	Number	0	
	Location	See Figure 38	
Levees	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0	
	Severity	Low	
	Vulnerability	Low	
	Potential losses	\$0	
	Summary & impact	With no high hazard potential dams present there is no impact to the City of Kirkwood	
	Number	0	
High hazard potential dams	Location	See figures 21 & 45	
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0	
	Severity	Low	
	Vulnerability	Low	
	Potential losses	\$0	

	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification - St. Louis County	VIII
Earthquake	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	All - See Figure 45, Appendix B
	Liquefaction area(s) present	Yes
	St. Louis County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Government buildings in liquefaction areas	0
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses*	\$500,000,000

<sup>\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area affected. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

#### D 1.11 City of Ladue

	City of Ladue Hazard Assessments and Vulnerabilities				
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	Notes*		
	Summary risk statement	Ladue is at high risk for flooding due to proximity to Deer Creek and Black Creek			
	Previous occurrences	None	https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/		
	Vulnerability	High			
	Location	Deer Creek and Black Creek			
	Probability of future occurrences	High			
Flood	Estimate of potential losses**	\$40,000,000	5% of assessed value		
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	8 bridges, 2 schools, 1 railroad, 1 government building, 1 interstate	See figure 21		
	Land use and development trends	Development in Ladue has increased by 37% from 2014.	Ladue is primarily a residential area.		
	Repetitive losses	See Table 105 & 106			

<sup>\*</sup>Absence of entry under Notes does not indicate missing data

<sup>\*\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in floodprone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

City of Ladue Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities			
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	
	Summary & impact	Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the City of Ladue	
	Number	53	
Sinkholes	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	2 nursing homes, 2 bridges, 1 railroad	
	Location	See Figure 45	
	Severity	Low	
	Vulnerability	High	
	Potential Losses	\$0	
	Summary & impact	With no levees present there is no impact to the City of Ladue	
	Number	0	
Levees	Location	See Figure 38	
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0	
	Severity	Low	
	Vulnerability	Medium	
	Potential losses	\$0	
	Summary & impact	With no high hazard potential dams present there is no impact to the City of Ladue	
High hazard	Number	0	
potential dams	Location	See figures 21 & 45	
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0	
	Severity	Low	

	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
		Charled the area has a
	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification - St. Louis County	VIII
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	All - See Figure 45, Appendix B
Earthquake	Liquefaction area(s) present	Yes
	St. Louis County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Government buildings in liquefaction areas	1
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses*	\$400,000,000

<sup>\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area affected. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

#### D 1.12 City of Lake St. Louis

•	City of Lake St. Louis Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities			
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	Notes*	
	Summary & impact	Lake St. Louis is at low risk for flooding		
	Previous occurrences	NA	https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/	
	Vulnerability	Low	Previous flood occurrences warranted FEMA natural disaster declarations and resulted in property damage	
	Location	Lake St. Louis, Lake Sainte Louise, Peruque Creek		
Flood	Probability of future occurrences	High		
	Estimate of potential losses**	\$128,000,000	Estimated 30% of valuation	
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	6 bridges, 1 hospital	See Figure 20, Appendix B	
	Land use and development trends	Development in Lake St. Louis increased by over 30% from 2014	Residential development is occurring west of I-64 and commercial/retail activity is taking place around interchanges of I-64 with Lake St. Louis Blvd and MO 364	
	Repetitive losses	See Tables 103 & 104		

<sup>\*</sup>Absence of entry under Notes does not indicate missing data

<sup>\*\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in flood-prone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

City of Lake St. Louis Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities			
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	
	Summary & impact	Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the City of Lake St. Louis	
	Number	0	
Sinkholes	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0	
	Location	See Figure 44	
	Severity	Low	
	Vulnerability	Low	
	Potential Losses	\$0	
	Summary & impact	Levee breaches have had little to no impact	
	Number	0	
	Location	See Figure 38	
Levees	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0	
	Severity	Low	
	Vulnerability	Low	
	Potential losses	\$0	
High hazard potential dams	Summary & impact	Lake St. Louis has four high hazard potential dams within its boundaries. Critical assets at risk downstream include an interstate, one railroad and a hospital. There have been no dam failures in the past	
	Number	4	
	Location	See Figures 20 & 44	

	Critical facilities, buildings,	Interstate, railroad
	infrastructure in hazard area	and one hospital
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	Estimated loss of one dam failing \$1.5 - 30 million
	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification - St. Charles County	VII
Earthquake	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	All - See Figure 44, Appendix B
	Liquefaction area(s) present	No
	St. Charles County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Government buildings in liquefaction areas	0
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses*	\$150,000,000

<sup>\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area affected. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

## D 1.13 City of Manchester

,	City of Manchester Hazard Assessments and Vulnerabilities			
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	Notes*	
	Summary risk statement	Manchester is at high risk for flooding due to proximity to Fishpot Creek and Grand Glaize Creek		
	Previous occurrences	None	https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/	
	Vulnerability	High		
	Location	Fishpot Creek and Grand Glaize Creek		
Flood	Probability of future occurrences	High		
	Estimate of potential losses**	\$22,000,000	5% of assessed value	
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	11 bridges, 2 main roads	See figure 21	
	Land use and development trends	Development in Manchester has increased by 38% from 2014.	There is residential development in the city as well as commercial development along Manchester Road.	
	Repetitive losses	See Table 105 & 106		

<sup>\*</sup>Absence of entry under Notes does not indicate missing data

<sup>\*\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in flood-prone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

City of Manchester Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities		
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment
	Summary & impact	With no sinkholes present there is no impact to the City of Manchester
	Number	0
Sinkholes	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0
	Location	See Figure 45
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential Losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	With no levees present there is no impact to the City of Manchester
	Number	0
Levees	Location	See Figure 38
Levees	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	With no high hazard potential dams present there is no impact to the City of Manchester
	Number	0
High hazard potential	Location	See figures 21 & 45
dams	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0

	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification - St. Louis County	VIII
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	All - See Figure 45, Appendix B
Earthquake	Liquefaction area(s) present	Yes
	St. Louis County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Government buildings in liquefaction areas	0
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses*	\$214,000,000

<sup>\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area affected. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

# D 1.14 City of O'Fallon

,	City of O'Fallon Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities			
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	Notes*	
	Summary & impact	O'Fallon is at medium risk for flooding due to proximity to Peruque and Belleau Creeks and has flooded in the past		
	Previous occurrences	July 12, 2010; September 18, 2010; August 5, 2015	https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/	
	Vulnerability	Medium	Previous flood occurrences warranted FEMA natural disaster declarations and resulted in property damage	
Flood	Location	Dardenne Creek, Peruque Creek, Belleau Creek		
	Probability of future occurrences	High		
	Estimate of potential losses**	\$436,000,000	Estimated 25% of valuation	
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	10 bridges, 2 schools	See Figure 20, Appendix B	
	Land use and development trends	Development in O'Fallon increased by over 30% from 2014	Mastercard Global Technology headquarters based here also CitiMortgage offices, construction of 360 acre residential/commercial development underway near Highway DD and I-64	
	Repetitive losses	See Tables 103 & 104		

<sup>\*</sup>Absence of entry under Notes does not indicate missing data

\*\*Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in flood-prone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

City of O'Fallon Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities			
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	
	Summary & impact	Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the City of O'Fallon	
	Number	1	
Sinkholes	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0	
	Location	See Figure 44	
	Severity	Low	
	Vulnerability	Low	
	Potential Losses	\$0	
	Summary & impact	Levee breaches have had little to no impact	
	Number	0	
	Location	See Figure 38	
Levees	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0	
	Severity	Low	
	Vulnerability	Low	
	Potential losses	\$0	
High hazard potential dams	Summary & impact	High hazard potential dams do have some potential to impact within city boundaries, however, there have been no dam failures in the past.	
	Number	1	
	Location	See Figures 20 & 44	
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	railroad	
	Severity	Low	
	Vulnerability	Low	
	Potential losses	NA	

	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification - St. Charles County	VII
Earthquake	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	All - See Figure 44, Appendix B
	Liquefaction area(s) present	Yes
	St. Charles County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Government buildings in liquefaction areas	2 schools
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses*	\$699,000,000

<sup>\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area affected. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

#### D 1.15 City of Pasadena Hills

	City of Pasadena Hills Hazard Assessments and Vulnerabilities				
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	Notes*		
	Summary risk statement	Pasadena Hills is at low risk for flooding			
	Previous occurrences	None	https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/		
	Vulnerability	High			
	Location	Flood zone(s) not present			
	Probability of future occurrences	High			
Flood	Estimate of potential losses**	\$0	0% of assessed value		
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area		See figure 21		
	Land use and development trends	Development in Pasadena Hills is limited due to historic nature of the community.	City is on the National Register of Historic Places.		
	Repetitive losses	See Table 105 & 106			

<sup>\*</sup>Absence of entry under Notes does not indicate missing data

<sup>\*\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in floodprone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

City of Pasadena Hills Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities			
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	
	Summary & impact	With no sinkholes present there is no impact to the City of Pasadena Hills	
	Number	0	
Sinkholes	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0	
	Location	See Figure 45	
	Severity	Low	
	Vulnerability	Low	
	Potential Losses	\$0	
	Summary & impact	With no levees present there is no impact to the City of Pasadena Hills	
	Number	0	
	Location	See Figure 38	
Levees	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0	
	Severity	Low	
	Vulnerability	Low	
	Potential losses	\$0	
	Summary & impact	With no high hazard potential dams present there is no impact to the City of Pasadena Hills	
10.1.1	Number	0	
High hazard potential dams	Location	See figures 21 & 45	
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0	
	Severity	Low	
	Vulnerability	Low	
	Potential losses	\$0	

	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification - St. Louis County	VIII
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	All - See Figure 45, Appendix B
Earthquake	Liquefaction area(s) present	No
	St. Louis County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Government buildings in liquefaction areas	0
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses*	\$6,000,000

<sup>\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area affected. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

# D 1.16 City of Portage Des Sioux

	City of Portage Des Sioux Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities			
Hazard	azard Vulnerability Assessment Notes*		Notes*	
	Summary & impact	Portage Des Sioux is at high risk for flooding due to proximity to Mississippi River and has flooded in the past		
	Previous occurrences	June 14, 2010, April 14, 2013, June 1, 2013, June 25, 2015, May 3-16, 2019, June 2-12, 2019	https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/	
Flood	Vulnerability	High	Previous flood occurrences warranted FEMA natural disaster declarations and resulted in property damage	
11000	Location	Mississippi River		
	Probability of future occurrences	High		
	Estimate of potential losses**	\$1,833,740	Estimated 50% of valuation	
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	1 government building	See Figure 20, Appendix B	
	Land use and development trends	Land use in Portage Des Sioux has changed over time as the city has lost population	City is located on the Mississippi River and contains boating businesses and is home to Our Lady of the Rivers shrine	
	Repetitive losses	See Tables 103 & 104		

<sup>\*</sup>Absence of entry under Notes does not indicate missing data

\*\*Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in flood-prone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

City of Portage Des Sioux Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities

Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment
	Summary & impact	Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the City of Portage Des Sioux
	Number	0
Sinkholes	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0
	Location	See Figures 44
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential Losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Levee breaches have had little to no impact
	Number	0
	Location	See Figure 38
Levees	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	High hazard potential dams do not have an impact
	Number	0
High hazard potential dams	Location	See Figures 20 & 44
potential dams	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0

	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification	VII
Earthquake	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	All - See Figure 44, Appendix B
	Liquefaction area(s) present	Yes
	St. Charles County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Government buildings in liquefaction areas	1
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses*	\$45,000,000

<sup>\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area affected. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas. See table below for percentages. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

# D 1.17 City of St. Charles

	City of St. Charles Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities			
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	Notes*	
	Summary & impact	St. Charles is at high risk for flooding due to proximity to Missouri & Mississippi Rivers and has flooded in the past		
	Previous occurrences	May 20, 2013, August 5, 2015, December 27 - 31, 2015; January 1-2, 2016; May 6, 2019	https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/	
	Vulnerability	High	Previous flood occurrences warranted FEMA natural disaster declarations and resulted in property damage	
Flood	Location	Missouri River, Mississippi River, Cole Creek, Boschert Creek		
	Probability of future occurrences	High		
	Estimate of potential losses**	\$419,000,000	Estimated 30% of valuation	
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	2 railroads, 16 bridges, 1 government building, 1 nursing home/day care center	See Figure 20, Appendix B	
	Land use and development trends	Development in St. Charles increased by over 20% from 2014	Business park and residential development is occurring adjacent to MO 370 and mixed use Riverpointe project adjacent to Missouri River south is I-70 is underway	

Repetitive losses	See Tables 103 &
Repetitive losses	104

<sup>\*</sup>Absence of entry under Notes does not indicate missing data

<sup>\*\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in flood-prone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

City of St. Charles Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities

Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment
Tiuzulu		
	Summary & impact	Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the City of St. Charles
	Number	23
Sinkholes	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	1 school
	Location	See Figure 44
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential Losses	\$0
		·
	Summary & impact	Elm Point Levee System was overtopped in 2008, 2011 & 2019 and breached in 2019 impacting 1,365 acres. Other levees within St. Charles have not experienced problems.
	Number	4
	Location	See Figure 38 & Table 109
Levees	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	Elm Point Levee System - segment of MO 370, railroad, mobile home concentration; Consolidated North County Levee System - segment of MO 370, railroad; Boschert Creek West - mobile home concentration
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Medium
	Potential losses	\$0

High hazard	Summary & impact	High hazard potential dams do have some potential to impact with city boundaries, however there have been no dam failures in the past.
potential dams	Number	3
uams	Location	See Figures 20 & 44
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification - St. Charles County	VII
Earthquake	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	All - See Figure 44, Appendix B
	Liquefaction area(s) present	Yes
	St. Charles County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Government buildings in liquefaction areas	1
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses*	\$559,000,000

\*Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area affected. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

# D 1.18 City of St. Clair

	City of St. Clair Hazard Assessments and Vulnerabilities			
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	Notes*	
	Summary risk statement	St. Clair is at medium risk for flooding due to proximity to Happy Sock Creek and Birch Creek		
	Previous occurrences	None	https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/	
	Vulnerability	High		
	Location	Happy Sock Creek and Birch Creek		
	Probability of future occurrences	High		
Flood	Estimate of potential losses**	\$609,000	1% of assessed value	
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	1 wastewater facility, 2 bridges, 1 interstate	See figure 18	
	Land use and development trends	Development in St. Clair increased by 10% from 2014	Residential and commercial development has occurred. St. Clair is primarily a residential area. Land at the former St. Clair Airport is now available for development. There are 15 different industries in the city.	
	Repetitive losses	See Table 99 & 100		

<sup>\*</sup>Absence of entry under Notes does not indicate missing data

<sup>\*\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in flood-prone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

City of St. Clair Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities			
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	
	Summary & impact	With no sinkholes present there is no impact to the City of St. Clair	
	Number	0	
Sinkholes	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0	
	Location	See Figure 42	
	Severity	Low	
	Vulnerability	Low	
	Potential Losses	\$0	
	Summary & impact	With no levees present there is no impact to the City of St. Clair	
	Number	0	
	Location	See Figure 38	
Levees	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0	
	Severity	Low	
	Vulnerability	Medium	
	Potential losses	\$0	
		Vnoven high hazard	
	Summary & impact	Known high hazard potential dams have little impact on the City of St. Clair	
	Summary & impact  Number	potential dams have little impact on the City	
High hazard	, ,	potential dams have little impact on the City of St. Clair	
High hazard potential dams	Number	potential dams have little impact on the City of St. Clair	
potential	Number Location Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard	potential dams have little impact on the City of St. Clair  See figures 18 & 42	
potential	Number Location Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	potential dams have little impact on the City of St. Clair  1 See figures 18 & 42  0	

	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification - Franklin County	VI
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	All - See Figure 42, Appendix B
Earthquake	Liquefaction area(s) present	No
	Franklin County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	3
	Government buildings in liquefaction areas	0
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses*	\$15,000,000

<sup>\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area affected. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

# D 1.19 City of St. Peters

	City of St. Peters Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities			
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	Notes*	
	Summary & impact	St. Peters is at high risk for flooding due to proximity to Dardenne Creek and Mississippi River and has flooded in the past		
	Previous occurrences	March 4, 2011; December 27 - 28, 2015; December 27 - 32, 2015; January 1 - 2, 2016; July 10, 2019	https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/	
Flood	Vulnerability	High	Previous flood occurrences warranted FEMA natural disaster declarations and resulted in property damage	
	Location	Mississippi River, Dardenne Creek, Spencer Creek		
	Probability of future occurrences	High		
	Estimate of potential losses**	\$410,000,000	Estimated 35% of valuation	
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	1 railroad, 19 bridges, 3 government buildings, 2 nursing homes/day care centers, 1 school	See Figure 20, Appendix B	

Land use and development trends	Development in St. Peters increased by over 20% from 2014	Premier 370 Business Park is home to Amazon fulfillment center, FedEx Ground and other manufacturing/distribution centers. Residential development is occurring south of I-70 and commercial activity is taking place along the I-70 corridor
Repetitive losses	See Tables 103 & 104	

<sup>\*</sup>Absence of entry under Notes does not indicate missing data

<sup>\*\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in flood-prone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

City of St. Peters Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities

Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment
		Known sinkholes
	Summary & impact	have virtually no
		impact to the City of
		St. Peters
	Number	16
		I-70 - MO 370
Sinkholes	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	interchange
	infrastructure in nazard area	adjacent to area with sinkholes
	Location	
	Severity	See Figure 44
	Vulnerability	medium
	Potential Losses	NA
	1 otential E033C3	14/1
		Levee breaches have
	Summary & impact	had little to no
	, , , ,	impact
	Number	3
	Location	See Figure 38 &
		Table 109
		Old Town St. Peters
Levees		Levee System - 2
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	government buildings, railroad;
		Elm Point Levee
		System - segment
		MO 370, railroad
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Medium
	Potential losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	High hazard
		potential dams do not have an impact
High hazard	Number	0
potential	IVAIIIDEI	0
dams	Location	See Figures 20 & 44
	Critical facilities, buildings,	0
	infrastructure in hazard area	O

	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	
	Potential losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification - St. Charles County	VII
Earthquake	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	All - See Figure 44, Appendix B
	Liquefaction area(s) present	Yes
	St. Charles County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Government buildings in liquefaction areas	2
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses*	\$469,000,000

<sup>\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area affected. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

## D 1.20 City of Town and Country

	City of Town & Country Hazard Assessments and Vulnerabilities		
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	Notes*
	Summary risk statement	Town & Country is at medium risk for flooding due to proximity to Creve Coeur Creek	
	Previous occurrences	None	https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/
	Vulnerability	High	
	Location	Creve Coeur Creek	
	Probability of future occurrences	High	
Flood	Estimate of potential losses**	\$27,000,000	3% of assessed value
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	6 bridges, 2 schools, 1 major road	See figure 21
	Land use and development trends	Development in Town & Country has increased by 30% from 2014.	Development and redevelopment continues along I-64. Town & Country is primarily a residential and outdoor recreational area.
	Repetitive losses	See Table 105 & 106	

<sup>\*</sup>Absence of entry under Notes does not indicate missing data

<sup>\*\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in floodprone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

City of Town & Country Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities

Hazard	n & Country Hazard Assessmo Vulnerability	Assessment
	Summary & impact	Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the City of Town & Country
,	Number	7
Sinkholes	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0
	Location	See Figure 45
	Severity	Low
ı	Vulnerability	Medium
1	Potential Losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	With no levees present there is no impact to the City of Town & Country
1	Number	0
1	Location	See Figure 38
Levees	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0
1	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Medium
,	Potential losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Known high hazard potential dams have little impact on the City of Town & Country
		•
	Number	. 1
High hazard	Number Location	•
High hazard potential dams		1
•	Location  Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard	See figures 21 & 45

	Potential losses \$0	
	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification - St. Louis County	VIII
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	All - See Figure 45, Appendix B
Earthquake	Liquefaction area(s) present	Yes
	St. Louis County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Government buildings in liquefaction areas	0
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses*	\$451,000,000

<sup>\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area affected. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

## D 1 21 City of Union

	City of Union Hazard Assessments and Vulnerabilities			
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	Notes*	
	Summary risk statement	Union is at medium risk for flooding due to its proximity to the Bourbeuse River		
	Previous occurrences	December 27 - 31, 2015; January 1, 2016; May 1 - 3, 2017	https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/	
	Vulnerability	Medium		
	Location	Bourbeuse River, Fenton Creek, Flat Creek, Birch Creek		
Flood	Probability of future occurrences	High		
	Estimate of potential losses**	\$20,000,000	10% of assessed value	
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	9 bridges, 4 nursing homes, 1 waste water facility	See figure 18	
	Land use and development trends	Development in Union increased by 21% from 2014	Residential development has occurred. Volpi Foods has expanded their facility. The Union Corporate Center has opened 46 acres for future commercial development	
	Repetitive losses	See Table 99 & 100		

<sup>\*</sup>Absence of entry under Notes does not indicate missing data

\*\*Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in flood-prone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

## City of Union Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities

Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment
	Summary & impact	With no sinkholes present there is no impact to the City of Union
	Number	0
Sinkholes	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0
	Location	See Figure 42
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential Losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	With no levees present there is no impact to the City of Union
	Number	0
	Location	See Figure 38
Levees	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	With no high hazard potential dams present there is no impact to the City of Union
	Number	0
High hazard	Location	See figures 18 & 42
potential dams	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0
	Severity	Low
	Severity	LOW
	Vulnerability	Low
	,	

	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification - Franklin County	VI
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	All - See Figure 42, Appendix B
Earthquake	Liquefaction area(s) present	Yes
	Franklin County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	3
	Government buildings in liquefaction areas	0
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses*	\$71,000,000

<sup>\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area affected. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

#### D 1.22 City of Warson Woods

-	City of Warson Woods Hazard Assessments and Vulnerabilities			
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	Notes*	
	Summary risk statement	Warson Woods is at low risk for flooding		
	Previous occurrences	None	https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/	
	Vulnerability	High		
	Location	Flood zone(s) not present		
	Probability of future occurrences	High		
Flood	Estimate of potential \$0	\$0	0% of assessed value	
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	6 bridges, 2 schools, 1 major road	See figure 21	
	Land use and development trends	Development in Warson Woods has increased by 42% from 2014.	The City is primarily a residential area. Commercial development and redevelopment continues along Manchester Road.	
	Repetitive losses	See Table 105 & 106		

<sup>\*</sup>Absence of entry under Notes does not indicate missing data

<sup>\*\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in flood-prone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

City of Warson Woods Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities

Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment
	Summary & impact	With no sinkholes present there is no impact to the City of Warson Woods
	Number	0
Sinkholes	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0
	Location	See Figure 45
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential Losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	With no levees present there is no impact to the City of Warson Woods
	Number	0
	Location	See Figure 38
Levees	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	With no high hazard potential dams present there is no impact to the City of Warson Woods
	Number	0
High hazard	Location	See figures 21 & 45
potential dams	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0

	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification - St. Louis County	VIII
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	All - See Figure 45, Appendix B
Earthquake	Liquefaction area(s) present	No
	St. Louis County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Government buildings in liquefaction areas	0
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses*	\$32,000,000

<sup>\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area affected. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

### D 1.23 City of Wentzville

	1.23 City of Wentzville  City of Wentzville Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities			
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	Notes*	
	Summary & impact	Wentzville is at low risk for flooding		
	Previous occurrences	2020	https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/	
	Vulnerability	Low	Previous flood occurrences warranted FEMA natural disaster declarations and resulted in property damage	
	Location	Peruque Creek, McCoy Creek, Dry Branch Creek, Enon Branch, Crossroads Creek, Spring Creek, Woodland Creek, Progress Creek, Rio Run		
Flood	Probability of future occurrences	High		
	Estimate of potential losses**	\$51,000,000	Estimated 5% of valuation	
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	10 bridges, water treatment plant	See Figure 20, Appendix B	
	Land use and development trends	Development in Wentzville has increased by over 50% from 2014	Commercial and retail development continues to occur adjacent to Wentzville Parkway and I-70. Residential developments are also taking place. GM is investing over \$1 billion to expand their Wentzville Assembly Center.	
	Repetitive losses	See Tables 103 & 104		

<sup>\*</sup>Absence of entry under Notes does not indicate missing data

\*\*Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in flood-prone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

# City of Wentzville Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities

Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment
	Summary & impact	Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the City of Wentzville
	Number	0
Sinkholes	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0
	Location	See Figure 44
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential Losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Levee breaches have had little to no impact
	Number	0
	Location	See Figure 38
Levees	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
		·
	Summary & impact	High hazard potential dams do not have an impact
	Number	0
High hazard potential	Location	See Figures 20 & 44
1		
dams	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0
dams		0 Low
dams	infrastructure in hazard area	
dams	infrastructure in hazard area Severity	Low

	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification -St. Charles County	VII
Earthquake	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	All - See Figure 44, Appendix B
	Liquefaction area(s) present	Yes
	St. Charles County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Government buildings in liquefaction areas	0
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses*	\$412,000,000

<sup>\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area affected. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

#### D 1.24 City of Winchester

	City of Winchester Hazard Assessments and Vulnerabilities			
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	Notes*	
	Summary risk statement	Winchester is at low risk for flooding		
	Previous occurrences	None	https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/	
	Vulnerability	High		
	Location	Flood zone(s) not present		
	Probability of future occurrences	High		
Flood	Estimate of potential losses**	\$0	0% of assessed value	
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area		See figure 21	
	Land use and development trends	Development in Winchester has increased by 37% from 2014.	the City is primarily a residential area.	
	Repetitive losses	See Table 105 & 106		

<sup>\*</sup>Absence of entry under Notes does not indicate missing data

<sup>\*\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in flood-prone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

### City of Winchester Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities

Hazard	Vinchester Hazard Assessme Vulnerability	Assessment
	Summary & impact	With no sinkholes present there is no impact to the City of Winchester
	Number	0
Sinkholes	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0
	Location	See Figure 45
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential Losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	With no levees present there is no impact to the City of Winchester
	Number	0
	Location	See Figure 38
Levees	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Known high hazard potential dams have little impact on the City of Winchester
	Number	1
High hazard potential dams	Location	See figures 21 & 45
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0

i	1	ı
	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification - St. Louis County	VIII
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	All - See Figure 45, Appendix B
Earthquake	Liquefaction area(s) present	No
	St. Louis County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Government buildings in liquefaction areas	0
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses*	\$9,000,000

<sup>\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area affected. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

#### D 1.25 City of De Soto

	City of DeSoto Hazard Assessments and Vulnerabilities			
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	Notes*	
	Summary risk statement	DeSoto is at high risk for flooding due to proximity to Joachim Creek		
	Previous occurrences	April 18, 2013	https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/	
	Vulnerability	High		
	Location	Joachim Creek		
Flood	Probability of future occurrences	High		
11000	Estimate of potential losses**	\$1,733,832	3% of assessed value	
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	1 wastewater facility, 9 bridges, 1 railroad	See figure 19	
	Land use and development trends	Development in DeSoto has increased by 34% from 2014	Residential and commercial development has occurred. DeSoto is primarily a residential area.	
	Repetitive losses	See Table 101 & 102		

<sup>\*</sup>Absence of entry under Notes does not indicate missing data

<sup>\*\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in flood-prone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

City of De Soto Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities			
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	
	Summary & impact	With no sinkholes present there is no impact to the City	
	Number	0	
Sinkholes	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0	
	Location	See Figure 43	
	Severity	Low	
	Vulnerability	Low	
	Potential Losses	\$0	
	Summary & impact	With no levees present there is no impact to the City	
	Number	0	
	Location	See Figure 38	
Levees	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0	
	Severity	Low	
	Vulnerability	Medium	
	Potential losses	\$0	
	Summary & impact	With no high hazard potential dams present there is no impact on the City of DeSoto	
	Number	0	
High hazard	Location	See figures 19 & 43	
potential dams	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0	
	Severity	Low	
	Vulnerability	Medium	
	Potential losses	\$0	

Î	1	
	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification - Jefferson County	VII
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	All - See Figure 43, Appendix B
Earthquake	Liquefaction area(s) present	Yes
	Jefferson County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	4
	Government buildings in liquefaction areas	1
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses*	\$1,733,832

<sup>\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area affected. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

### D 1.26 City of Chesterfield

	City of Chesterfield Hazard Assessments and Vulnerabilities				
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	Notes*		
	Summary risk statement	Chesterfield is at relatively high risk for flooding, both riverine and flash			
	Previous occurrences	1	https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/		
	Vulnerability	High			
	Missouri River, Caulks, Creve Coeur, and Location Bonhomme Creeks, Centaur Shute (Missouri River channel)				
FIOOD	Probability of future occurrences	High			
	Estimate of potential losses**	\$112,223,270	5% of assessed value		
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	See Figure 21			
	Land use and development trends	Development and redevelopment in Chesterfield increased by 11% from 2014	It is a mix of residential areas, with commercial and retail development; additionally, Chesterfield is home to the largest general aviation airport in the region		
İ	Repetitive losses	See Table 105 & 106	\$4,453,968		

<sup>\*</sup>Absence of entry under Notes does not indicate missing data

<sup>\*\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in flood-prone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

City of Chesterfield Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities			
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	
	Summary & impact	Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the City of Chesterfield	
	Number	21	
Sinkholes	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	There are 9 critical facilities adjacent to known sinkholes	
	Location	See Figure 45	
	Severity	Low	
	Vulnerability	Low	
	Potential Losses	\$0	
	Summary & impact	Chesterfied's protection from Missouri River flooding is highly reliant on levees. Of the five Chesterfield levees, one (Monarch / Chesterfield) is rated for a 500- year flood event	
	Number	5	
Levees	Location	See Figure 38	
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	23	
	Severity	High	
	, Vulnerability	Medium	
	Potential losses	\$897,786,156	
	Summary & impact	High hazard potential dams present some risk to the City of Chesterfield	
High barand natantial	Number	12	
High hazard potential dams	Location	See figures 21 & 45	
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	6	

	Severity	Moderate
	Vulnerability	Medium
	Potential losses	\$448,893,078
	Summary & impact	Should there be an significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification - St. Louis County	VIII
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	All - See Figure 45, Appendix B
Earthquake	Liquefaction area(s) present	No
	St. Louis County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Government buildings in liquefaction areas	4
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Moderate
	Vulnerability	Medium
	Potential losses*	\$1,683,349,043

<sup>\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area affected. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas. See table page 4 for percentages. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

#### D 1.27 City of Florissant

	City of Florissant Hazard Assessments and Vulnerabilities				
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	Notes*		
	Summary risk statement	Florissant is at relatively high risk for flooding, both riverine and flash			
	Previous occurrences	2008, 2013, 2022	https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/		
	Vulnerability	High			
	Location	Coldwater Creek, Fountain Creek, Daniel Boone Creek, St Anthony Creek, Paddock Creek, Missouri River			
Flood	Probability of future occurrences	High			
	Estimate of potential losses**	\$34,917,229	5% of assessed value		
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0	See Figure 21		
	Land use and development trends	Development and redevelopment in Florissant is expected to increase at 2%, largely due to new housing	Florissant is an established community with a historic center and room for housing and other development		
	Repetitive losses	See Table 105 & 106	\$2,383,503		

<sup>\*</sup>Absence of entry under Notes does not indicate missing data

<sup>\*\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in flood-prone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

City of Florissant Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities			
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	
	Summary & impact	Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the City of Florissant	
	Number	0	
Sinkholes	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	There are no critical facilities adjacent to known sinkholes	
	Location	See Figure 45	
	Severity	Low	
	Vulnerability	Low	
	Potential Losses	\$0	
	Summary & impact	Florissant's protection from Missouri River flooding is somewhat reliant on its levee	
	Number	1	
	Location	See Figure 38	
Levees	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0	
	Severity	Moderate	
	Vulnerability	Low	
	Potential losses	\$6,983,445	
	Summary & impact	High hazard potential dams do not present a risk to Florissant	
	Number	12	
High hazard	Location	See figures 21 & 45	
potential dams	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0	
	Severity	Low	
	Vulnerability	Low	
	Potential losses	\$0	

	Summary & impact	Should there be an significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification - St. Louis County	VIII
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	All - See Figure 45, Appendix B
Earthquake	Liquefaction area(s) present	Yes
Laitiquake	St. Louis County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Government buildings in liquefaction areas	0
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Moderate
	Vulnerability	Medium
	Potential losses*	\$139,668,916

<sup>\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area affected. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas. See table page 4 for percentages. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

### D 1.28 City of Hazelwood

	City of Hazelwood Hazard Assessments and Vulnerabilities					
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	Notes*			
	Summary risk statement	Hazelwood is at relatively high risk for flooding, both riverine and flash				
	Previous occurrences	2008, 2013, 2022	https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/			
	Vulnerability	High				
	Location	Missouri River, Cold Water Creek, Cowmire creek				
Flood	Probability of future occurrences	High				
	Estimate of potential losses**	\$32,408,018	5% of assessed value			
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	35	See Figure 21			
	Land use and development trends	Development and redevelopment in Hazelwood is expected to grow at 5%	Hazelwood is a major regional hub for manufacturing, distribution, and office facilities and is adjacent to St. Louis Lambert International Airport			
	Repetitive losses	See Table 105 & 106	\$5,187,966			

<sup>\*</sup>Absence of entry under Notes does not indicate missing data

<sup>\*\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in flood-prone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

	City of Hazelwood Hazard Asse	ssment and Vulnerabilities
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment
	Summary & impact	Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the City of Hazelwood
	Number	0
Sinkholes	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	There are no critical facilities adjacent to known sinkholes
	Location	See Figure 45
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential Losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Hazelwood's protection from Missouri River flooding is highly reliant on its levee.
	Number	1
	Location	See Figure 38
Levees	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	12
	Severity	Moderate
	Vulnerability	Medium
	Potential losses	\$897,786,156
	Summary & impact	High hazard potential dams present some risk to the City of Chesterfield
	Number	12
	Location	See figures 21 & 45
High hazard potential dams	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	6
	Severity	Moderate
	Vulnerability	Medium
	Potential losses	\$6,481,603
Earthquake	Summary & impact	Should there be an significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe

Modified Mercalli classification - St. Louis County	VIII
Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	All - See Figure 45, Appendix B
Liquefaction area(s) present	Yes
St. Louis County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
Government buildings in liquefaction areas	0
Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
Severity	Moderate
Vulnerability	Medium
Potential losses*	\$129,632,072

<sup>\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area affected. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas. See table page 4 for percentages. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

#### D 1.29 City of Maryland Heights

	City of Maryland Heights Assessments and Vulnerabilities			
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	Notes*	
	Summary risk statement	Maryland Heights is at relatively moderate risk for flooding, both riverine and flash		
	Previous occurrences	1993, 2019, 2022	https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stor mevents/	
	Vulnerability	High		
Flood	Location	Missouri River, Creve Coeur Creek, Creve Coeur Lake, Mallard Lake, Fee Fee Creek, East Tributary Fee Fee Creek, Fee Fee Creek Tributary 1, Midland Creek, Louiselle Creek, Discharge Creek, Dorsett Tributary, Hollybrook Tributary, Midland Creek Tributary 1, Midland Creek Tributary 2, North Tributary Midland Creek		
	Probability of futur occurrences	e High		
	Estimate of potential losses**	\$49,120,005	5% of assessed value	
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0	See Figure 21	
	Land use and development trends	Development in Maryland Heights is expected to increase at 25% - 30%	The city expects substantial industrial growth along Hwy 141 with some additional infill commercial and multi-family projects throughout the city.	
	Repetitive losses	See Table 105 & 106	\$706,438	

<sup>\*</sup>Absence of entry under Notes does not indicate missing data

<sup>\*\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in flood-prone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

City of M	laryland Heights Hazard Ass	sessment and Vulnerabilities
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment
	Summary & impact	Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the City of Maryland Heights
	Number	0
Sinkholes	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	There are no critical facilities adjacent to known sinkholes
	Location	See Figure 45
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential Losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Maryland Heights protection from Missouri River flooding is highly reliant on its levee
	Number	6
	Location	See Figure 38
Levees	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0
	Severity	Moderate
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$6,983,445
	Summary & impact	There is one high hazard potential dam in Maryland Heights
	Number	1
High hazard	Location	See figures 21 & 45
_	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
1		

	Potential losses	\$982,400
	Summary & impact	Should there be an significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification - St. Louis County	VIII
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	All - See Figure 45, Appendix B
Earthquake	Liquefaction area(s) present	Yes
	St. Louis County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Government buildings in liquefaction areas	0
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Moderate
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses*	\$982,400,111

<sup>\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area affected. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas. See table page 4 for percentages. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

# D 1.30 City of University City

City of University City Assessments and Vulnerabilities			ments and Vulnerabilities
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	Notes*
	Summary risk statement	University City is at high risk for flooding, both riverine/creek and flash	
	Previous occurrences	2008, 2013, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2022	https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/
	Vulnerability	High	
	Location	River des Peres and tributaries, Deer Creek, Engleholm Creek	
Flood	Probability of future occurrences	High	
	Estimate of potential losses**	\$36,281,238	5% of assessed value
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	6	See Figure 21
	Land use and development trends	Development in University City is expected to achieve 10% growth over next 5 years	Completion of a major commercial development at I-170 and Olive Blvd and senior housing at I-170 and Delmar will contribute to city growth
	Repetitive losses	See Table 105 & 106	\$7,395,567

<sup>\*</sup>Absence of entry under Notes does not indicate missing data

\*\*Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in floodprone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

Sources: East-West Gateway Council of Governments; Federal Emergency Management Agency; Missouri Department of Natural Resources; Missouri Spatial Data Information Service; U.S. Census Bureau

City of University City Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities

Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment
	Summary & impact	University City has no known sinkholes
	Number	0
	Critical facilities, buildings,	
Sinkholes	infrastructure in hazard	0
	area	
	Location	See Figure 45
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential Losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	University City has no levees
	Number	0
	Location	See Figure 38
	Critical facilities, buildings,	
Levees	infrastructure in hazard	0
	area	
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	There is are no high hazard potential dam in University City
Ulab bassad	Number	0
High hazard potential dams	Location	See figures 21 & 45
	Critical facilities, buildings,	
	infrastructure in hazard	0
	area	<u>.</u>
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low

	Potential losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Should there be an significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification - St. Louis County	VIII
Earthquake	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	All - See Figure 45, Appendix B
	Liquefaction area(s) present	Yes
	St. Louis County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Government buildings in liquefaction areas	1
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Moderate
	Vulnerability	Moderate
	Potential losses*	\$181,406,194

<sup>\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area affected. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas. See table page 4 for percentages. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

#### D 1.31 City of Webster Groves

	City of Webster Groves Assessments and Vulnerabilities		
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	Notes*
	Summary risk statement	Webster Groves is at relatively high risk for flooding, both riverine/creek and flash	
	Previous occurrences	2008, 2022	https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stor mevents/
	Vulnerability	High	
	Location	Shady Grove Creek, Deer Creek	
	Probability of future occurrences	High	
Flood	Estimate of potential losses**	\$1,039,703	5% of assessed value
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0	See Figure 21
	Land use and development trends	Development in Webster Groves is expected to achieve 3% growth over next 5 years	Webster Groves has limited growth potential due to limited population growth as reflected in the most recent census and the majority of development potential is in the floodplain and garners little interest
	Repetitive losses	See Table 105 & 106	\$1,039,703

<sup>\*</sup>Absence of entry under Notes does not indicate missing data

<sup>\*\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in flood-prone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

	ebster Groves Hazard Assess	•
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment
	Summary & impact	Webster Groves has a high number of known sinkholes and the potential for more to form
	Number	54
Sinkholes		There are no critical facilities adjacent to known sinkholes
	Location	See Figure 45
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential Losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Webster Groves has no levees and is not adjacent to any of the region's major rivers
	Number	0
	Location	See Figure 38
Levees	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	o a
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
		•
	Summary & impact	There is are no high hazard potential dam in Webster Groves
	Number	0
	Location	See figures 21 & 45
High hazard potential dams	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0
	C	
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0

	Summary & impact	Should there be an significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification - St. Louis County	VIII
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Earthquake	Liquefaction area(s) present	Yes
	St. Louis County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Government buildings in liquefaction areas	0
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Moderate
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses*	\$300,802,451

<sup>\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area affected. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas. See table page 4 for percentages. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

# D 1.32 City of Breckenridge Hills

	City of Breckenridge Hills Assessments and Vulnerabilities			
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	Notes*	
	Summary risk statement	Breckenridge Hills is at high risk for flooding, both riverine/creek and flash		
	Previous occurrences	1992, 1997, 2000, 2005, 2006, 2010, 2015, 2017, 2019, 2020, 2022	https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/	
	Vulnerability	High		
	Location	Coldwater Creek		
	Probability of future occurrences	High		
Flood	Estimate of potential \$3 losses**	\$2,120,447	5% of assessed value	
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	See Figure 21		
	Land use and development trends	Development in Breckenridge Hills is expected to achieve 5% growth over next 20 years	Although Breckenridge has lost a small amount of population, beginning with the 2000 Census, development in residential structures is anticipated to reverse the trend	
	Repetitive losses	See Table 105 & 106	\$934,018	

<sup>\*</sup>Absence of entry under Notes does not indicate missing data

\*\*Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in flood-prone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

City of Breckenridge Hills Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities			
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	
	Summary & impact	Breckenridge Hills has no known sinkholes	
	Number	0	
Sinkholes	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0	
	Location	See Figure 45	
	Severity	Low	
	Vulnerability	Low	
	Potential Losses	\$0	
	Summary & impact	Breckenridge Hills has no levees	
	Number	0	
	Location	See Figure 38	
Levees	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	0	
	Severity	Low	
	Vulnerability	Low	
	Potential losses	\$0	
	Summary & impact	There are no dams impacting Breckenridge Hills	
	Number	0	
High bassed	Location	See figures 21 & 45	
High hazard potential dams	Critical facilities, buildings,	0	
potential dams	infrastructure in hazard area	0	
	Severity	Low	
	Vulnerability	Low	
	Potential losses	\$0	

	Summary & impact	Should there be an significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification - St. Louis County	VIII
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	All - See Figure 45, Appendix B
Earthquake	Liquefaction area(s) present	Yes
	St. Louis County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Government buildings in liquefaction areas	1
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Moderate
	Vulnerability	Moderate
	Potential losses*	\$16,963,577

<sup>\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area affected. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas. See table page 4 for percentages. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

# D 1.33 City of Creve Coeur

	City of Creve Coeur Assessments and Vulnerabilities				
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment	Notes*		
	Summary risk statement	Creve Coeur is at high risk for flooding, both riverine/creek and flash			
	Previous occurrences	2008, 2019, 2020, 2022	https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/		
	Vulnerability	High			
Flood	Location	Deer Creek and Creve Coeur Creek Watersheds, Monsanto- Sunswept Creek, Smith Creek, Fernridge Creek and other tributaries			
	Probability of future occurrences	High			
	Estimate of potential losses**	\$8,733,453	5% of assessed value		
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	6	See Figure 21		
	Land use and development trends	Development in Creve Coeur is expected to achieve 5% growth over next 20 years	Creve Coeur anticipates 5% growth in the next five years as larger commercial sites transition to planned communites		
	Repetitive losses	See Table 105 & 106	\$59,203		

Summary 8 Number	dimpact lities, buildings, ure in hazard area	Assessment  Creve Coeur has no known sinkholes  0
Number	lities, buildings,	sinkholes 0
Critical faci		_
		0
Location		See Figure 45
Severity		Low
Vulnerabili	ty	Low
Potential Lo	osses	\$0
Summary 8	k impact	Creve Coeur has no levees
Number		0
Location		See Figure 38
ΙΔΝΔΩς	lities, buildings, ure in hazard area	0
Severity		Low
Vulnerabili	ty	Low
Potential lo	sses	\$0
Summary 8	k impact	There are no dams impacting Creve Coeur
Number		0
High Location		See figures 21 & 45
potential	lities, buildings, ure in hazard area	0
Severity	o m mazara area	Low
Vulnerabili	tv	Low
Potential Id		\$0

<sup>\*</sup>Absence of entry under Notes does not indicate missing data

<sup>\*\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values as a percentage of potential losses in flood-prone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

	Summary & impact	Should there be an significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification - St. Louis County	VIII
	Critical facilities, buildings, infrastructure in hazard area	All - See Figure 45, Appendix B
Earthquake	Liquefaction area(s) present	Yes
	St. Louis County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Government buildings in liquefaction areas	0
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Moderate
	Vulnerability	Moderate
	Potential losses*	\$69,867,631

<sup>\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city values based on percentage of city area affected. For each county, the percent of land affected was estimated to be 25 - 50 %. See tables 57 - 67. To identify a city's percent of land affected, the Modified Mercalli classification for each county was taken into account as were any liquefaction areas. See table page 4 for percentages. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.

# D 2 School District Assessments

Percentages to Use to Calculate Assessed Valuation Affected by Earthquake				
County	Modified Mercalli Classification by County	% to use if city is without liquefaction	% to use if there are liquefaction areas	
Franklin	VI	25	35	
St Charles, Jefferson	VII	35	40	
St. Louis City, St. Louis County	VIII	40	50	

#### D 2.1 Rockwood R-VI School District

**Rockwood R-VI School District Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities** 

Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment
	County	St. Louis
	Location	See Figure 13
	Schools	30
	Change in number of schools 2014 - 2019	0
	Students	21,722
	Percent change in number of students 2014 - 2019	-2%
	Assessed property valuation	\$4,094,443,920
	Summary & impact	Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the school district
Sinkholes	Number	12
Sinkholes	Number near school buildings	0
	Location	See Figure 45
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential Losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Levee breaches have had little to no impact
	Number	1
Levees	Location	See Figure 38 and Table 109
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
High hazard potential	Summary & impact	High hazard potential dams are present in the school district boundaries but do not have an impact
dams	Number	19
	Number near school buildings	0
]	Location	See Figures 21 & 45

	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Flooding within school district boundaries has the potential to disrupt operations to some extent, one building may be at risk
Floods	Major waterways	Missouri , Meramec Rivers, and tributaries
Floous	Location	See Figure 21
	School buildings in flood zones	1
	Percent of district impacted	20%
	Probability of occurrence	See Table 67
	Severity	High
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses	\$1,200,000,000
	Flood events in 2009 - 2019	4
	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification	VIII
Earthquake	Location	See Figure 45
-ai inquanc	Earthquake preparedness and drills	Yes*
	Distributes earthquake safety information**	Yes**
	St. Louis County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Schools in liquefaction areas	1
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	-	Low High

- \*Missouri law requires school districts in a Modified Mercalli Zone VII or above at a magnitude 7.6 earthquake to prepare earthquake preparedness and safety information, such as earthquake procedures and a disaster plan; conduct earthquake drills twice each year; and provide training.
- \*\*Missouri law also requires that at the beginning of the school year, all school districts in Missouri distribute to their students earthquake safety information which has been prepared by FEMA, SEMA (Earthquake Safety for Missouri Schools), or by agencies which are authorities in the area of earthquake safety. In many school districts earthquake safety information is also available on their websites.

#### D 2.2 St Clair R-XIII School District

### St. Clair R-XIII School District Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities

Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment
1102010	County	Franklin
	Location	See Figure 13
School	Schools	5
district	Change in number of schools 2014 - 2019	0
details	Students	2,259
	Percent change in number of students 2014 - 2019	-7%
	Assessed property valuation	\$153,403,339
	Assessed property valuation	Ψ 133) 133)333
	Summary & impact	Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the school district
61 - 1 1 1	Number	2
Sinkholes	Number near school buildings	0
	Location	See Figure 42
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential Losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Levee breaches have had little to no impact
	Number	0
Levees	Location	See Figure 38
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
High hazard	Summary & impact	High hazard potential dams are present in the school district boundaries but do not have an impact
potential dams	Number	18
uams	Numer and a series of level diagram	0
	Number near school buildings	U
	Location	See Figures 18 & 42

	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Flooding within school district boundaries has the potential to disrupt operations to some extent, buildings are not at risk
Floods	Major waterways	Meramec, Bourbeuse Rivers, and tributaries
	Location	See Figure 18
	School buildings in flood zones	0
	Percent of district impacted	15
	Probability of occurrence	See Table 59
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$15,000,000
	Flood events in 2009 - 2019	4
	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification	VI
Earthquake	Location	See Figure 42
	Earthquake preparedness and drills	*N/A
	Distributes earthquake safety information**	Yes**
	Franklin County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	3
	Schools in liquefaction areas	0
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses	\$38,000,000

- \*Missouri law requires school districts in a Modified Mercalli Zone VII or above at a magnitude 7.6 earthquake to prepare earthquake preparedness and safety information, such as earthquake procedures and a disaster plan; conduct earthquake drills twice each year; and provide training.
- \*\*Missouri law also requires that at the beginning of the school year, all school districts in Missouri distribute to their students earthquake safety information which has been prepared by FEMA, SEMA (Earthquake Safety for Missouri Schools), or by agencies which are authorities in the area of earthquake safety. In many school districts earthquake safety information is also available on their websites.

#### D 2.3 Dunklin R – V School District

#### Hancock Place School District Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities

Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment
пагаги		
	County	St. Louis
	Location	See Figure 13
School	Schools	3
district	Change in number of schools 2014 - 2019	0
details	Students	1,520
	Percent change in number of students 2014 - 2019	-3%
	Assessed property valuation	\$195,729,570
	Assessed property valuation	\$193,729,370
		Kanasa sinkhalan
		Known sinkholes have virtually no
	Summary & impact	impact to the school
		district
	Number	10
Sinkholes	Number near school buildings	2
	Location	See Figure 45
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential Losses	\$0
	1 otential E035c3	ΨO
		Levee breaches have
	Summary & impact	had little to no
	, .	impact
	Number	0
Levees	Location	See Figure 38
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
		,
		High hazard potential
	Summary & impact	dams are not present
High		within the school
hazard		district boundaries
potential	Number	0
dams	Number Number near school buildings	0
	Location	
		See Figures 21 & 45
	Severity	Low

	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Flooding within school district boundaries has the potential to disrupt operations to some extent, buildings are not at risk
Floods	Major waterways	Mississippi River, River Des Peres , Gravois Creek
	Location	See Figure 21
	School buildings in flood zones	0
	Percent of district impacted	10
	Probability of occurrence	See Table 65
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$19,000,000
	Flood events in 2009 - 2019	3
	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification	VIII
Earthquake	Location	See Figure 45
	Earthquake preparedness and drills	Yes*
	Distributes earthquake safety information**	Yes**
	St. Louis County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Schools in liquefaction areas	0
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses	\$98,000,000

- \*Missouri law requires school districts in a Modified Mercalli Zone VII or above at a magnitude 7.6 earthquake to prepare earthquake preparedness and safety information, such as earthquake procedures and a disaster plan; conduct earthquake drills twice each year; and provide training.
- \*\*Missouri law also requires that at the beginning of the school year, all school districts in Missouri distribute to their students earthquake safety information which has been prepared by FEMA, SEMA (Earthquake Safety for Missouri Schools), or by agencies which are authorities in the area of earthquake safety. In many school districts earthquake safety information is also available on their websites.

#### D 2.4 Fort Zumwalt R – II School District

### Fort Zumwalt R-II School District Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities

	Fort Zumwalt R-II School District Hazard Assessment and	Vulnerabilities
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment
	County	St. Charles
	Location	See Figure 13
School	Schools	25
district	Change in number of schools 2014 - 2019	+2
details		
	Students	18,125
	Percent change in number of students 2014 - 2019	-3.9%
	Assessed property valuation	\$2,721,552,032
		Known sinkholes
	Summary & impact	have virtually no
		impact to the school district
	North	
Sinkholes	Number	12
	Number near school buildings	0
	Location	See Figure 44
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential Losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Levee breaches have had little to no
	Summary & impact	impact
	Number	7
Levees	Number	See Figure 38 & Table
Levees	Location	109
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
		Ţ-Ū
		Hish has all and all the
		High hazard potential dams are present in
11:	Summary & impact	the school district
High hazard		boundaries but do
potential		not have an impact
dams	Number	3
	Number near school buildings	0
	Location	See Figures 20 & 44
	LOCATION	Jee Figures 20 & 44

	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Flooding within school district boundaries has the potential to disrupt operations to some extent, 2 buildings are at risk
Floods	Major waterways	Mississippi River, Cuivre River, Peruque Creek, Dardenne Creek
	Location	See Figure 20
	School buildings in flood zones	2
	Percent of district impacted	35
	Probability of occurrence	See Table 63
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$544,000,000
	Flood events in 2009 - 2019	9
		·
	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification	VII
Earthquake	Location	See Figure 44
Lartinquake	Earthquake preparedness and drills	Yes*
	Distributes earthquake safety information**	Yes**
	St. Charles County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Schools in liquefaction areas	1
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	<u></u>	
	Vulnerability	High

- \*Missouri law requires school districts in a Modified Mercalli Zone VII or above at a magnitude 7.6 earthquake to prepare earthquake preparedness and safety information, such as earthquake procedures and a disaster plan; conduct earthquake drills twice each year; and provide training.
- \*\*Missouri law also requires that at the beginning of the school year, all school districts in Missouri distribute to their students earthquake safety information which has been prepared by FEMA, SEMA (Earthquake Safety for Missouri Schools), or by agencies which are authorities in the area of earthquake safety. In many school districts earthquake safety information is also available on their websites.

#### D 2.5 Francis Howell R – III School District

### Francis Howell R-III School District Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities

	rancis Howell R-III School District Hazard Assessment and	
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment
	County	St. Charles
	Location	See Figure 13
School	Schools	21
district	Change in number of schools 2014 - 2019	0
details	Students	17,855
	Percent change in number of students 2014 - 2019	-10%
	Assessed property valuation	\$2,846,631,685
	Summary & impact	Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the school district
Sinkholes	Number	20
Sinkholes	Number near school buildings	1
	Location	See Figure 44
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential Losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Levee breaches have had little to no impact
	Number	5
Levees	Location	See Figure 38 & Table 109
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
		·
High hazard potential dams	Summary & impact	High hazard potential dams are present in the school district boundaries but do not have an impact
	Number	20
	Number near school buildings	0
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-

	Location	See Figures 20 & 44
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Flooding within school district boundaries has the potential to disrupt operations to some extent, buildings are not at risk
Floods	Major waterways	Missouri River, Femme Osage Creek, Dardenne Creek
	Location	See Figure 20
	School buildings in flood zones	0
	Percent of district impacted	15
	Probability of occurrence	See Table 63
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$285,000,000
	Flood events in 2009 - 2019	8
	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification	VII
Earthquake	Location	See Figure 44
	Earthquake preparedness and drills	Yes*
	Distributes earthquake safety information**	Yes**
	St. Charles County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Schools in liquefaction areas	0
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses	\$1,138,000,000

- \*Missouri law requires school districts in a Modified Mercalli Zone VII or above at a magnitude 7.6 earthquake to prepare earthquake preparedness and safety information, such as earthquake procedures and a disaster plan; conduct earthquake drills twice each year; and provide training.
- \*\*Missouri law also requires that at the beginning of the school year, all school districts in Missouri distribute to their students earthquake safety information which has been prepared by FEMA, SEMA (Earthquake Safety for Missouri Schools), or by agencies which are authorities in the area of earthquake safety. In many school districts earthquake safety information is also available on their websites.

#### D 2.6 Hancock Place School District

#### **Hancock Place School District Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities**

HazardVulnerabilityAssessmentSchool district detailsCountySt. Louis See Figure 13Schools3Change in number of schools 2014 - 20190Students1,520Percent change in number of students 2014 - 2019-3%Assessed property valuation\$195,729,570Summary & impactKnown sinkholes have virtually no impact to the school districtNumber10Number near school buildings2LocationSee Figure 45SeverityLowVulnerabilityLowPotential Losses\$0
School district details  Schools Change in number of schools 2014 - 2019 O  Students Percent change in number of students 2014 - 2019 Assessed property valuation  Summary & impact  Number Number near school buildings Location See Figure 13  See Figure 13  See Figure 13  See Figure 13  Students 1,520  Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the school district  Number 10  Number 0  See Figure 45  Severity Low Vulnerability Low
Schools Change in number of schools 2014 - 2019 O  Students 1,520 Percent change in number of students 2014 - 2019 -3% Assessed property valuation Summary & impact  Number Number Number near school buildings Location Severity Vulnerability Schools 3  Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the school district  Number near school buildings 2  Location See Figure 45 Severity Low
Change in number of schools 2014 - 2019  Change in number of schools 2014 - 2019  Students  Percent change in number of students 2014 - 2019  Assessed property valuation  Summary & impact  Summary & impact  Number  Number  Number near school buildings  Location  See Figure 45  Severity  Vulnerability  Change in number of schools 2014 - 2019  1,520  Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the school district  Number 10  See Figure 45
Change in number of schools 2014 - 2019  Students  Percent change in number of students 2014 - 2019  Assessed property valuation  Summary & impact  Number  Number  Number near school buildings  Location  Severity  Vulnerability  Students  1,520  Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the school district  10  See Figure 45  Severity  Low
Students 1,520  Percent change in number of students 2014 - 2019 -3%  Assessed property valuation \$195,729,570  Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the school district  Number 10  Number near school buildings 2  Location See Figure 45  Severity Low  Vulnerability Low
Assessed property valuation \$195,729,570  Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the school district  Number 10  Number near school buildings 2  Location See Figure 45  Severity Low Vulnerability Low
Assessed property valuation \$195,729,570  Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the school district  Number 10  Number near school buildings 2  Location See Figure 45  Severity Low Vulnerability Low
Sinkholes  Summary & impact have virtually no impact to the school district  Number 10  Number near school buildings 2  Location See Figure 45  Severity Low  Vulnerability Low
Sinkholes  Summary & impact have virtually no impact to the school district  Number 10  Number near school buildings 2  Location See Figure 45  Severity Low  Vulnerability Low
Number near school buildings  Location Severity Vulnerability  Severity Low
Number near school buildings 2 Location See Figure 45 Severity Low Vulnerability Low
Severity Low Vulnerability Low
Vulnerability Low
,
Potential Losses \$0
Summary & impact  Levee breaches have had little to no impact
. Number 0
Levees Location See Figure 38
Severity Low
Vulnerability Low
Potential losses \$0
High hazard potential dams are not present
High hazard within the school district boundaries
High  Summary & Impact  within the school
High hazard potential within the school district boundaries
High hazard potential dams  Number  Summary & Impact within the school district boundaries  Number  O

	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
Floods	Summary & impact	Flooding within school district boundaries has the potential to disrupt operations to some extent, buildings are not at risk
	Major waterways	Mississippi River, River Des Peres , Gravois Creek
	Location	See Figure 21
	School buildings in flood zones	0
	Percent of district impacted	10
	Probability of occurrence	See Table 65
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$19,000,000
	Flood events in 2009 - 2019	3
	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification	VIII
Earthquake	Location	See Figure 45
	Earthquake preparedness and drills	Yes*
	Distributes earthquake safety information**	Yes**
	St. Louis County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Schools in liquefaction areas	0
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses	\$98,000,000

- \*Missouri law requires school districts in a Modified Mercalli Zone VII or above at a magnitude 7.6 earthquake to prepare earthquake preparedness and safety information, such as earthquake procedures and a disaster plan; conduct earthquake drills twice each year; and provide training.
- \*\*Missouri law also requires that at the beginning of the school year, all school districts in Missouri distribute to their students earthquake safety information which has been prepared by FEMA, SEMA (Earthquake Safety for Missouri Schools), or by agencies which are authorities in the area of earthquake safety. In many school districts earthquake safety information is also available on their websites.

#### D 2.7 Hazelwood School District

#### **Hazelwood School District Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities**

Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment
	County	St. Louis
	Location	See Figure 13
Calcard	Schools	32
School district	Change in number of schools 2014 - 2019	0
details		
	Students	17,864
	Percent change in number of students 2014 - 2019	-2%
	Assessed property valuation	\$1,801,517,170
		Known sinkholes
	Summary & impact	have virtually no
		impact to the school district
	Number	
Sinkholes		150
	Number near school buildings Location	See Figure 45
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential Losses	\$0
	1 otential cosses	70
		Levee breaches have
	Summary & impact	had little to no
		impact
	Number	7
Levees		See Figure 38 & Table
	Location	109
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
		Dedoka and an extended
		High hazard potential dams are not present
High	Summary & impact	within the school
hazard		district boundaries
potential		
dams	Number	0
	Number near school buildings	0
	Location	See Figures 21 & 45

	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
Floods	Summary & impact	Flooding within school district boundaries has the potential to disrupt operations to some extent, buildings are not at risk
	Major waterways	Missouri & Mississippi Rivers, Coldwater Creek, Watkins Creek
	Location	See Figure 21
	School buildings in flood zones	0
	Percent of district impacted	20
	Probability of occurrence	See Table 65
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$270,000,000
	Flood events in 2009 - 2019	3
	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification	VIII
Earthquake	Location	See Figure 45
Lartinquake	Earthquake preparedness and drills	Yes*
	Distributes earthquake safety information**	Yes**
	St. Louis County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Schools in liquefaction areas	0
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses	\$900,000,000

- \*Missouri law requires school districts in a Modified Mercalli Zone VII or above at a magnitude 7.6 earthquake to prepare earthquake preparedness and safety information, such as earthquake procedures and a disaster plan; conduct earthquake drills twice each year; and provide training.
- \*\*Missouri law also requires that at the beginning of the school year, all school districts in Missouri distribute to their students earthquake safety information which has been prepared by FEMA, SEMA (Earthquake Safety for Missouri Schools), or by agencies which are authorities in the area of earthquake safety. In many school districts earthquake safety information is also available on their websites.

# D 2.8 Lindbergh School District

# **Lindbergh School District Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities**

Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment
	County	St. Louis
	Location	See Figure 13
School	Schools	10
district	Change in number of schools 2014 - 2019	+2
details	Students	6,941
	Percent change in number of students 2014 - 2019	18%
	Assessed property valuation	\$1,556,487,200
	Summary & impact	Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the school district
Sinkholes	Number	41
Silikiloles	Number near school buildings	0
	Location	See Figure 45
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential Losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Levee breaches have had little to no impact
	Number	0
Levees	Location	See Figure 38
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
High hazard	Summary & impact	High hazard potential dams are present in the school district boundaries but do not have an impact
potential dams	Number	2
Julio	Number near school buildings	0
	Location	See Figures 21 & 45
	Severity	Low
	<i>I</i>	2011

	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Flooding within school district boundaries has the potential to disrupt operations to some extent, buildings are not at risk
Floods	Major waterways	Meramec River, Gravois Creek, Mattesse Creek
	Location	See Figure 21
	School buildings in flood zones	0
	Percent of district impacted	15
	Probability of occurrence	See Table 65
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$233,000,000
	Flood events in 2009 - 2019	7
	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification	VIII
Earthquake	Location	See Figure 45
Lartiiquake	Earthquake preparedness and drills	Yes*
	Distributes earthquake safety information**	Yes**
	St. Louis County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Schools in liquefaction areas	0
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses	\$778,000,000

- \*Missouri law requires school districts in a Modified Mercalli Zone VII or above at a magnitude 7.6 earthquake to prepare earthquake preparedness and safety information, such as earthquake procedures and a disaster plan; conduct earthquake drills twice each year; and provide training.
- \*\*Missouri law also requires that at the beginning of the school year, all school districts in Missouri distribute to their students earthquake safety information which has been prepared by FEMA, SEMA (Earthquake Safety for Missouri Schools), or by agencies which are authorities in the area of earthquake safety. In many school districts earthquake safety information is also available on their websites.

#### D 2.9 Northwest R – I School District

### Northwest R-I School District Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities

Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment
School district details	County	Jefferson
	Location	See Figure 13
	Schools	10
	Change in number of schools 2014 - 2019	0
	Students	6,289
	Percent change in number of students 2014 - 2019	-6.3%
	Assessed property valuation	\$489,374,503
	Summary & impact	Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the school district
Sinkholes	Number	6
Sinknoies	Number near school buildings	0
	Location	See Figure 43
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential Losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Levee breaches have had little to no impact
Laurana	Number	0
Levees	Location	See Figure 38
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
High hazard	Summary & impact	High hazard potential dams are present in the school district boundaries but do not have an impact
potential dams	Number	19
uaiiis	Number near school buildings	0
	Location	See Figures 19 & 43
	Severity	Low

	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Flooding within school district boundaries has the potential to disrupt operations to some extent, buildings are not at risk
Floods	Major waterways	Big River, and Heads Creek
	Location	See Figure 19
	School buildings in flood zones	0
Γ	Percent of district impacted	15
Γ	Probability of occurrence	See Table 61
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$48,900,000
	Flood events in 2009 - 2019	4
	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification	VII
Earthquake	Location	See Figure 43
	Earthquake preparedness and drills	Yes*
	Distributes earthquake safety information**	Yes**
	Jefferson County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	4
I -	Jefferson County earthquake events 2009 - 2016 Schools in liquefaction areas	0
	, ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Schools in liquefaction areas Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through	0
_	Schools in liquefaction areas Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	0 25 - 45%

<sup>\*</sup>Missouri law requires school districts in a Modified Mercalli Zone VII or above at a magnitude 7.6 earthquake to prepare earthquake preparedness and safety information, such as earthquake procedures and a disaster plan; conduct earthquake drills twice each year; and provide training.

\*\*Missouri law also requires that at the beginning of the school year, all school districts in Missouri distribute to their students earthquake safety information which has been prepared by FEMA, SEMA (Earthquake Safety for Missouri Schools), or by agencies which are authorities in the area of earthquake safety. In many school districts earthquake safety information is also available on their websites.

#### D 2.10 Ritenour School District

### **Ritenour School District Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities**

Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment
	County	St. Louis
	Location	See Figure 13
	Schools	10
School	Change in number of schools 2014 - 2019	+1
district details	Students	6,483
	Percent change in number of students 2014 -	F0/
	2019	5%
	Assessed property valuation	\$576,910,550
		Marana simble also
		Known sinkholes have virtually no
	Summary & impact	impact to the
		school district
	Number	0
Sinkholes	Number near school buildings	0
	Location	See Figure 45
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential Losses	\$0
		•
		Levee breaches
	Summary & impact	have had little to
		no impact
Loves	Number	0
Levees	Location	See Figure 38
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
		High hazard
		potential dams are
High	Summary & impact	present in the school district
hazard		
potential		houndaries but do
-		boundaries but do not have an
dams		
-	Number	not have an

	Location	See Figures 21 &
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
	1 oteritian 1033c3	70
	Summary & impact	Flooding within school district boundaries has the potential to disrupt operations to some extent, buildings are not at risk
Floods	Major waterways	Coldwater Creek, River des Peres tributary
	Location	See Figure 21
	School buildings in flood zones	0
	Percent of district impacted	3
	Probability of occurrence	See Table 65
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$17,000,000
	Flood events in 2009 - 2019	1
Earthquake	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification	VIII
	Location	See Figure 45
	Earthquake preparedness and drills	Yes*
	Distributes earthquake safety information**	Yes**
	St. Louis County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Schools in liquefaction areas	1
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%

Severity	Low
Vulnerability	High
Potential losses	\$288,000,000

<sup>\*</sup>Missouri law requires school districts in a Modified Mercalli Zone VII or above at a magnitude 7.6 earthquake to prepare earthquake preparedness and safety information, such as earthquake procedures and a disaster plan; conduct earthquake drills twice each year; and provide training.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Missouri law also requires that at the beginning of the school year, all school districts in Missouri distribute to their students earthquake safety information which has been prepared by FEMA, SEMA (Earthquake Safety for Missouri Schools), or by agencies which are authorities in the area of earthquake safety. In many school districts earthquake safety information is also available on their websites.

# D 2.11 Valley Park School District

### Valley Park School District Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities

Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment
	County	St. Louis
	Location	See Figure 13
School	Schools	3
district	Change in number of schools 2014 - 2019	0
details	Students	902
	Percent change in number of students 2014 - 2019	-14%
	Assessed property valuation	\$193,180,250
	Summary & impact	Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the school district
Circleb all a a	Number	2
Sinkholes	Number near school buildings	0
	Location	See Figure 45
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential Losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Levee breaches would have an impact
	Number	1
Levees	Location	See Figure 38 & Table 109
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$16,000,000
High hazard potential	Summary & impact	High hazard potential dams are not present in the school district boundaries so do not have an impact
dams	Number	0
	Number near school buildings	0
	Location	See Figures 21 & 45

	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Flooding within school district boundaries has the potential to disrupt operations to some extent, buildings are not at risk as behind levee
Floods	Major waterways	Meramec River, Fishpot Creek, Grand Glaize Creek
	Location	See Figure 21
	School buildings in flood zones	0
	Percent of district impacted	30
	Probability of occurrence	See Table 65
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$19,300,000
	Flood events in 2009 - 2019	11
	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli classification	VIII
Earthquake	Location	See Figure 45
	Earthquake preparedness and drills	Yes*
	Distributes earthquake safety information**	Yes**
	St. Louis County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Schools in liquefaction areas	3
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	High
	Potential losses	\$96,000,000

- \*Missouri law requires school districts in a Modified Mercalli Zone VII or above at a magnitude 7.6 earthquake to prepare earthquake preparedness and safety information, such as earthquake procedures and a disaster plan; conduct earthquake drills twice each year; and provide training.
- \*\*Missouri law also requires that at the beginning of the school year, all school districts in Missouri distribute to their students earthquake safety information which has been prepared by FEMA, SEMA (Earthquake Safety for Missouri Schools), or by agencies which are authorities in the area of earthquake safety. In many school districts earthquake safety information is also available on their websites.

# **D 2.12** Washington School District

# Washington School District Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities

Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment
		Franklin & St. Charles
	County	Counties
	Location	See Figure 13
School district	Schools	10
details	Change in number of schools 2014 - 2019	-1
	Students	4,121
	Percent change in number of students 2014 - 2019	-3%
	Assessed property valuation	\$695,981,292
		Known sinkholes
	Summary & impact	have virtually no
		impact to the school
		district
Sinkholes	Number	6
	Number near school buildings	0
	Location	See Figures 42 & 44
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential Losses	\$0
		Levee breaches have
	Summary & impact	had little to no
		impact
	Number	2
Levees		See Figure 38 & Table
	Location	109
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
High		High hazard potential
	Summary & impact	dams are present in
		the school district
hazard potential		boundaries but do
dams		not have an impact
	Number	23
	Number near school buildings	0

		See Figures 18, 20, 42
	Location	& 44
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Flooding within school district boundaries has the potential to disrupt operations to some extent, 1 building is at risk
Floods	Major waterways	Missouri River, Boeuf Creek, St. John's Creek, Dubois Creek, Labadie Creek & Fiddle Creek
	Location	See Figures & 20 18
	School buildings in flood zones	1
	Percent of district impacted	20
	Probability of occurrence	See Tables 59 & 63
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$104,000,000
	Flood events in 2009 - 2019	4
	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
Earthquake	Modified Mercalli classification - Franklin County	VI
	Modified Mercalli classification - St. Charles County	VII
	Location	See Figures 42 & 44
	Earthquake preparedness and drills	Yes*
	Distributes earthquake safety information**	Yes**
	Franklin County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	3
	St. Charles County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0
	Schools in liquefaction areas	1

Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through	
2053)	25 - 45%
Severity	Low
Vulnerability	High
Potential losses	\$243,000,000

<sup>\*</sup>Missouri law requires school districts in a Modified Mercalli Zone VII or above at a magnitude 7.6 earthquake to prepare earthquake preparedness and safety information, such as earthquake procedures and a disaster plan; conduct earthquake drills twice each year; and provide training.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Missouri law also requires that at the beginning of the school year, all school districts in Missouri distribute to their students earthquake safety information which has been prepared by FEMA, SEMA (Earthquake Safety for Missouri Schools), or by agencies which are authorities in the area of earthquake safety. In many school districts earthquake safety information is also available on their websites.

### D 2.13 Meramec Valley R-III School District

### Meramec Valley R III School District Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities

Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment
	County	Franklin, Jefferson & St. Louis Counties (all school buildings are located in Franklin Co.)
School	Location	See Figure 13
district	Schools	9
details	Change in number of schools 2014 - 2019	0
	Students	3,213
	Percent change in number of students 2014 - 2019	0%
	Assessed property valuation	\$414,519,763
	Summary & impact	Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the school district
	Number	3
Sinkholes	Number near school buildings	0
	Location	See Figures 42, 43 & 45
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential Losses	\$0
		·
	Summary & impact	Levee breaches have had little to no impact
	Number	0
Levees	Location	See Figure 38
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
High hazard potential dams	Summary & impact	High hazard potential dams are present in the school district boundaries but do not have an impact

	Number	14
	Number near school buildings	0
		See Figures 18, 19, 21,
	Location	42, 43 & 45
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Flooding within school district boundaries has the potential to disrupt operations to some extent
<b>F</b> I I.	Major waterways	Meramec River, Brush Creek, Calvey Creek & Bourbeuse River
Floods	Location	See Figures 18, 19 & 21
	School buildings in flood zones	0
	Percent of district impacted	15%
	Probability of occurrence	See Tables 59, 61 & 65
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$62,177, 964
	Flood events in 2009 - 2019	2
	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
Earthquake	Modified Mercalli classification - Franklin County	VI
Lartiquake	Modified Mercalli classification - Jefferson County	VII
	Modified Mercalli classification - St. Louis County	VIII
	Location	See Figures 42, 43 & 45
	Earthquake preparedness and drills	NA*
	Distributes earthquake safety information**	Yes**
	Franklin County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	3
	Jefferson County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	4
	St. Louis County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	0

Schools in liquefaction areas	0
Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through	
2053)	25 - 45%
Severity	Low
Vulnerability	High
Potential losses	\$103,629,940

<sup>\*</sup>Missouri law requires school districts in a Modified Mercalli Zone VII or above at a magnitude 7.6 earthquake to prepare earthquake preparedness and safety information, such as earthquake procedures and a disaster plan; conduct earthquake drills twice each year; and provide training.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Missouri law also requires that at the beginning of the school year, all school districts in Missouri distribute to their students earthquake safety information which has been prepared by FEMA, SEMA (Earthquake Safety for Missouri Schools), or by agencies which are authorities in the area of earthquake safety. In many school districts earthquake safety information is also available on their websites.

# D 3 Special District Assessments

Percentages to Use to Calculate Assessed Valuation Affected by Earthquake			
County	Modified Mercalli Classification by County	% to use if city is without liquefaction	% to use if there are liquefaction areas
Franklin	VI	25	35
St Charles, Jefferson	VII	35	40
St. Louis City, St. Louis County	VIII	40	50

#### D 3.1 Meramec Ambulance District

#### **Meramec Ambulance District Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities**

		rict Hazard Assessment and Vulnerabilities
Hazard	Vulnerability	Assessment
	County	Franklin, small portion St. Louis and Jefferson Counties to SE
	Service area	240 square miles - Pacific & unincorporated NE Franklin County
Ambulance district details	Location	http://www.meramecems.org/pdfiles/MeramecBODSD map.pdf
	Ambulance stations	4
	Ambulances	7
	Assessed property valuation	¢572.047.140
	valuation	\$572,947,140
	Summary & impact	Known sinkholes have virtually no impact to the ambulance district
	Number	3
	Number near ambulance	
Sinkholes	stations	0
	Location	See Figure 42
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential Losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	Levee breaches have had little to no impact
	Number	0
Levees	Location	See Figure 38
Levees	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$0
	Summary & impact	High hazard potential dams are present in the ambulance district boundaries but do not have an impact
	Number	19
High hazard	Number near ambulance	
potential dams	stations	0
Guillo	Location	See Figures 18 & 42
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low

Floods	Summary & impact	Flooding within ambulance district boundaries has the potential to disrupt operations to some extent, buildings are not at risk
	Major waterways	Missouri, Meramec & Bourbeuse Rivers, and tributaries
	Location	See Figure 18
	Ambulance stations in flood zones	0
	Percent of district impacted	15
	Probability of occurrence	See Table 59
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	Low
	Potential losses	\$572,000,000
	Flood events in 2009 - 2019	7
Earthquake	Summary & impact	Should there be a significant New Madrid earthquake or one from another fault, the damage and impact to the entire region will be severe
	Modified Mercalli	VI
	classification	
	Location	See Figure 42
	Franklin County earthquake events 2009 - 2016	3
	Probability (chance magnitude 6.0 occurring through 2053)	25 - 45%
	Severity	Low
	Vulnerability	High

<sup>\*</sup>Potential loss data taken from assessed city/county values as a percentage of potential losses in flood-prone areas. Property assessment numbers were used in lieu of appraisal values due to the fact that while a disaster impacts actual school or city buildings to some extent, the impact to tax revenues and with them, the ability to rebuild/repair, is much more significant and not covered by insurance as would damage to a jurisdiction's own buildings.