

**ILLINOIS TRANSPORTATION PLANNING COMMITTEE  
MEETING MINUTES  
May 8, 2019**

A meeting of the Illinois Transportation Planning Committee was called to order by Mr. Norm Etling of the St. Clair County Highway Department at 10:00 AM on May 8, 2019, at the Illinois Department of Transportation District 8 Regional Conference Room in Collinsville, IL.

A roll call of members was taken:

*St. Clair Co. Highway Dept. (Chair) – Norm Etling  
Madison Co. Highway Dept (V. Chair) – Mark Gvillo  
Monroe Co. Highway Dept. – Aaron Metzger  
Madison County TPC – Mayor Allen Adomite  
St. Clair County TPC – Mayor Richard Sauget  
Monroe County TPC – Chris Smith\*  
Madison Co. Transit – Jerry Kane  
St. Clair Co. Transit – Ken Sharkey  
Metro – Absent  
IDOT – Lora Rensing\**

*\*Authorized representative*

Others present at the meeting were:

<i>Jon Schaller – IDOT</i>	<i>Dan Sommer – IDOT</i>	<i>Karen Geldert - IDOT</i>
<i>Jason Lange – EWGCOG</i>	<i>Rachael Pawlak – EWGCOG</i>	<i>Josh Schwenk – EWGCOG</i>
<i>Anna Musial - EWGCOG</i>	<i>Tom Caldwell – IDOT</i>	<i>Randy Georgen – St. Clair Co</i>

Introductions were made by all in attendance.

A motion was made by Mark Gvillo to accept the August 8, 2018 meeting minutes. The motion was seconded by Aaron Metzger after discussion on the appropriate representative for the Monroe County TPC and passed unanimously by acclamation.

**Status of STU FY 2019 through FY 2022 Projects:**

Jon Schaller discussed the current state of STU projects and noted that projects need to be making reasonable progress towards their programmed years. No questions or comments were raised.

**Establish Priorities for FY 2023 STU Projects:**

Jason Lange discussed the STU application and selection process. A call for applications went out on November 29, 2018 and workshops were held in December 2018 and January 2019 for prospective projects. Applications were due on February 14, 2019. EWG received 24 applications totaling \$11.0 million, the STU allotment for FY 2022 is approximately \$5.3M. Applications are received based on seven project types: active transportation, bridge, freight/economic development, road, safety, traffic flow, and transit. Projects were rated based on performance, facility usage and costs and given an overall score with a maximum of 125 points. Projects were then ranked highest to lowest based on scores with the only exception being for the regional rules that each county must receive at least one project and no municipality can receive more than one project. Project scores and rankings were presented in the handout labeled Attachment A. The floor was open for any comments or questions about the project proposals and no comments or questions were posed. Mayor Adomite made a motion to accept the rankings as they were presented which was seconded by Mark Gvillo and the motion passed unanimously by acclamation.

**Status of CMAQ Projects:**

Jon Schaller explained the status of currently programmed CMAQ projects as noted in the handout that was provided. It was noted again that all projects need to be making reasonable progress towards construction.

**Establish Priorities for FY2021 CMAQ Program:**

Jason Lange explained the CMAQ program is for projects that reduce emissions and improve air quality for the region. The St. Louis area is eligible for this funding due to being in a non-attainment area for ozone and particulate matter. This year there were 13 CMAQ applications received totaling \$9.4 million. The amount of funding available for FY 2020 CMAQ funds is approximately \$5 million. The principal criterion for determining project eligibility is reduction of mobile source emissions in the area. Federal cost per ton of emission reduced is used as the primary measure to establish priority. A minimum of 25% of CMAQ funds must be allocated for projects targeting PM<sub>2.5</sub> reductions per the FAST Act. All projects must also meet the Buy America criteria. Mr. Lange directed the group to look

at Attachment B for scoring and ranking of the proposed CMAQ projects. The floor was open for any comments or questions about the project proposals and no comments or questions were posed. Jerry Kane made a motion to accept the rankings as presented and the motion was seconded by Mark Gvillo. Motion carried unanimously.

**Funding Ratio and Appropriation Discussion:**

Discussion was held relative to the current project funding ratio and the District appropriation. Jon Schaller explained that the District's appropriation is generally determined by the amount of federal funding available plus the required local match. The appropriation level is capped each program year. Committee policy provides for funding STU projects at 75% federal / 25% local match. While that is the policy, many project applications include funding levels with much lower federal participation resulting in a significantly higher local share. In addition, at the time of final plans, many projects (both STU & CMAQ) exceed the available programmed amount requiring a portion of the project to be funded as local only, which must then be accommodated in the District program. The combination of these issues causes the local share to consume a much larger portion of the District's funding appropriation, resulting in a balance of federal funds unexpended. To alleviate this problem, projects should be programmed at the appropriate funding ratio, while LPA's and their consultants keep project costs in line with the approved programmed amounts. Projects exceeding the approved programmed amounts will need to be locally let.

Further discussion ensued on funding ratios and allowable uses of the federal funds for preliminary engineering, right-of-way acquisition, and construction engineering. A motion was made by Mayor Adomite to allow for funding STU projects in accordance with federal rules at 80% federal / 20% local match including PE, ROW, Construction, and CE. The motion was seconded by Mayor Sauget. After further discussion, the motion was withdrawn. Mayor Adomite then motioned to allow for funding STU projects at 80% federal / 20% local match beginning with projects programmed in FY 2024. The motion was seconded by Mayor Sauget and carried by the committee.

**Establish the Schedule for the Next Meeting of the ITPC:**

Mr. Lange noted that next year the solicitation schedule will revert to the previous schedule of December through February with the TPC meetings to follow as in previous years. The next meeting date will be determined.

A motion was made by Mayor Adomite to adjourn the meeting. The motion was seconded by Mark Gvillo and it passed unanimously by acclamation. The meeting was adjourned.



Jon A. Schaller, P.E.  
Secretary

Enclosures

cc: All Committee Members  
Keith Roberts  
Lora Rensing  
Jason Lange – EWGCOG  
Rachael Pawlak – EWGCOG  
Betsy Tracy – FHWA  
IDOT CBLRS

All Attendees  
Gwen Lagemann  
Tom Caldwell – IDOT OP&P  
Kevin Jemison – IDOT  
Holly Ostdick – IDOT OP&P  
Chris Fraley – FHWA



**EAST-WEST GATEWAY**  
**Council of Governments**

Creating Solutions Across Jurisdictional Boundaries

To: Illinois Transportation Planning Committee

From: Council Staff

Date: May 6, 2019

Subject: FY 2020-2023 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) – Illinois Local Program -- **REVISED**

**Chair**

Mark A. Kern  
Chairman, St. Clair County Board

**Vice Chair**

Steve Ehlmann  
County Executive  
St. Charles County

**2nd Vice Chair**

Robert Elmore  
Chairman, Board of Commissioners  
Monroe County

**Executive Committee**

Tim Brinker  
Presiding Commissioner  
Franklin County

Dennis Gannon  
County Executive  
Jefferson County

Lyda Krewson  
Mayor, City of St. Louis

Kurt Prenzler  
Chairman, Madison County Board

Steve Stenger  
County Executive  
St. Louis County

**Members**

Ron Counts  
Mayor, City of Arnold  
Jefferson County

Emeka Jackson-Hicks  
Mayor, City of East St. Louis

Reggie Jones  
St. Louis County

Mark Kupsky  
Vice President,  
Southwestern Illinois  
Council of Mayors

Norman C. McCourt  
Municipal League of Metro St. Louis

Roy Mosley  
St. Clair County

Lewis Reed  
President, Board of Aldermen  
City of St. Louis

Herbert Simmons  
President, Southwestern Illinois  
Metropolitan & Regional  
Planning Commission

Tom Smith  
President, Southwestern Illinois  
Council of Mayors

Michael Walters  
Madison County

John White  
St. Charles County

**Regional Citizens**

Barbara Geisman  
C. William Grogan

Richard Kellett  
John A. Laker

**Non-voting Members**

Erin Aleman  
Illinois Department of  
Transportation

Erika Kennett  
Illinois Department of Commerce  
and Economic Opportunity

Patrick McKenna  
Missouri Department of  
Transportation

Taulby Roach  
Bi-State Development

Aaron Willard  
Missouri Office of Administration

**Executive Director**

James M. Wild

**Project Solicitation**

East-West Gateway Council of Governments (EWG) announced a call for project applications for federal funding through the Surface Transportation Block Grant Program - Suballocated (STP-S) and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) Program on November 29. A Project Development Workshop took place on December 7, which included presentations on the STP-S and CMAQ project application process and requirements to complete an STP-S or CMAQ application. A Project Review Workshop was held on January 23, which gave project sponsors an opportunity to present their project to a panel representing EWG, IDOT, St. Clair County Transit District, and Trailnet. The panel offered feedback to project sponsors on the proposed applications. Logical termini meetings were held on December 18, January 16, and February 7. These meetings took place with IDOT, Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), and EWG staff. The purpose of these meetings was to develop logical termini for a project prior to its potential selection. Throughout the solicitation, EWG staff was available to answer questions on project applications. The project solicitation process concluded on February 14 when project applications were due.

**Surface Transportation Block Grant Program - Suballocated**

**Submitted Projects**

In the Illinois portion of the region, 24 projects representing approximately \$11 million in federal funds were submitted for consideration in the STP-S funding program. **Table A** below shows the breakdown of submitted projects by county.

**Table A – FY 2020-2023 TIP – Illinois Local Program – Submitted STP-S Projects By County**

County	# of Projects	Total Cost	Federal Cost	% of Request (Fed \$)
Madison	14	\$10,507,799	\$6,061,369	55.0%
Monroe	1	\$605,000	\$398,250	3.6%
St. Clair	9	\$8,482,056	\$4,565,290	41.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>\$19,594,855</b>	<b>\$11,024,909</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Gateway Tower  
One Memorial Drive, Suite 1600  
St. Louis, MO 63102-2451

314-421-4220  
618-274-2750  
Fax 314-231-6120

webmaster@ewgateway.org  
www.ewgateway.org

### Available Funding

The initial estimate or mark for STP-S funding was \$5 million. IDOT later notified EWG that the mark for programming increased to \$5.3 million. In April 2018, FHWA published a notice regarding the FY 2018 Department of Transportation Appropriations Act. This notice included a suballocation of funds to the EWG region of approximately \$1 million in Highway Infrastructure Program (HIP) funds available for immediate programming. HIP funds may be used on road and bridge projects that are also eligible for STP-S. The HIP funding allocation from FY 2018 must be obligated by September 30, 2021. Although the HIP funds are not included in the IDOT mark, programming the funding now is prudent rather than waiting until next year. Including the HIP funding, there is approximately \$6.3 million available for programming.

### Evaluation and Ranking of Projects

There are seven STP-S project application types: active transportation, bridge, freight/economic development, road, safety, traffic flow, and transit. All project types compete against each other for the available STP-S funding. Each project type receives up to 100 performance points. In addition to performance points, projects receive up to five points for facility usage and up to 20 points based on cost. **Table B** shows the breakdown of submitted projects by application type.

<b>Table B – FY 2020-2023 TIP – Illinois Local Program – Submitted STP-S Projects By Application Type</b>				
<b>Application Type</b>	<b># of Projects</b>	<b>% of Projects</b>	<b>Total Cost</b>	<b>Federal Cost</b>
Active Transportation	1	4.2%	\$876,662	\$571,736
Bridge	2	8.3%	\$1,320,200	\$849,000
Freight/Economic Development	0	0.0%	\$0	\$0
Road	18	75.0%	\$13,907,774	\$8,267,205
Safety	3	12.5%	\$3,490,219	\$1,336,968
Traffic Flow	0	0.0%	\$0	\$0
Transit	0	0.0%	\$0	\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$19,594,855</b>	<b>\$11,024,909</b>

Projects are ranked from highest to lowest based on the total score, made up of the performance, cost, and usage scores. Since each county is guaranteed at least one project, a project could score lower than other projects and still be recommended for funding. In cases of a tie, the project with the highest performance score would be recommended for funding. A secondary tiebreaker is based the project with the lowest construction cost.

Locally adopted criteria are also applied. This includes no more than one project per municipality per year and reimbursement of up to 75 percent of construction costs. Preliminary engineering, right of way acquisition and construction engineering are not eligible for reimbursement. In addition, all projects must be consistent with clean air requirements, establish financial commitment, and must demonstrate a reasonable degree of political and community support.

## Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program

### **Submitted Projects / Available Funding**

The CMAQ program provides funding for transportation programs or projects that reduce emissions and contribute to the attainment or maintenance of the national ambient air quality standards of ozone, carbon monoxide, and particulate matter. Project sponsors submitted for consideration 13 CMAQ applications requesting approximately \$9.4 million in federal funds. **Table C** shows the breakdown of submitted projects by county. The amount of CMAQ funds available for programming is approximately \$5 million.

<b>Table C – FY 2020-2023 TIP – Illinois Local Program – Submitted CMAQ Projects By County</b>				
<b>County</b>	<b># of Projects</b>	<b>Total Cost</b>	<b>Federal Cost</b>	<b>% of Request (Fed \$)</b>
Madison	6	\$6,807,843	\$4,942,555	52.6%
Monroe	0	\$0	\$0	0.0%
Multi-State	1	\$90,000	\$72,000	0.8%
St. Clair	6	\$5,471,765	\$4,377,413	46.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>\$12,369,608</b>	<b>\$9,391,968</b>	<b>100%</b>

### **Evaluation of Submitted Projects**

The principal criterion for determining project eligibility through the CMAQ program is that an improvement or a service must contribute to attainment or maintenance of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for an area or region. The St. Louis region is in non-attainment or maintenance status for ozone and particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>). Federal cost per ton of emissions reduced of ozone precursors is used in the project selection process as the measure to establish priority. This measure is used as a means of comparing various types of projects in a common way, that being the cost per unit of benefit.

The Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act mandates that at least 25 percent of CMAQ funds be used for projects targeting PM<sub>2.5</sub> reductions. It also highlights diesel retrofits and port related equipment and vehicles as eligible projects to mitigate PM<sub>2.5</sub>. Other CMAQ eligibilities include public transit, bicycle and pedestrian facilities, travel demand management strategies, alternative fuel vehicles, and vehicle-to-infrastructure communication equipment.

Once projects are ranked relative to cost per metric ton of emissions reduced, the establishment of project priorities and the selection of projects for funding in the CMAQ program are a direct result of a project's cost effectiveness and the availability of local, federal, and other funding. Projects that result in increased emissions are not eligible for CMAQ.

Two projects were not evaluated. One sponsor withdrew their application. One sponsor has unexpended funds from a project that was approved in 2018. The project from last year was a two-year program. Once that project is underway and moving toward completion, the applicant can apply again.

## Public Comment

Project information from the 37 applications was posted on EWG's website for public comment. The public comment period was from March 4 through April 4. **Table D** shows a summary of the comments received. **Attachment C** provides a detailed listing of the comments.

<b>Table D – FY 2020-2023 TIP – Summary of Public Comments</b>				
<b>Project</b>	<b># Support</b>	<b># Oppose</b>	<b># of Concerned</b>	<b>Total # of Comments</b>
Centreville – Bond Avenue	1	0	0	1
Highland – 6 <sup>th</sup> Street	5	0	0	5
Maryville – Pleasant Ridge	1	0	0	1
Maryville – Keebler Road, Phase 2	1	0	0	1
St. Clair County – Green Mount Road	1	0	0	1
St. Clair County – Hartman/80 <sup>th</sup> /Waterloo Bridges	1	0	0	1
St. Clair County – Old Collinsville Road	1	0	0	1
Swansea – IL 159	1	0	0	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>

## Project Rankings – STP-S and CMAQ

**Attachment A** shows the project rankings for STP-S based on the total project scores and the amount of funding available. **Attachment B** shows the project rankings for the CMAQ projects based on cost per ton of emissions reduced and the amount of funding available.

EWG staff presents these rankings for use by the TPC in developing a list of recommended projects.



Attachment A – FY 2020-2023 TIP – STP-S Program

Project Submittals (Ordered by Total Score)

ID Number	County	Sponsor	Project Title/Description	Application Type	Federal Cost	Total Construction Cost	Performance Score (100)	Cost Score (20)	Usage Score (5)	Total Score (125)	Cumulative Federal Cost
<b>Recommended for funding</b>											
8313	Madison	Madison	Madison Avenue, Phase 1 - 3rd St To 7th St Resurfacing - Sidewalks (5')	Road	\$405,132	\$540,176	78	17.82	4	99.82	\$405,132
8316	Madison	Granite City	Johnson Road, Phase 3 - Edgewood Ave To Wabash Ave Resurfacing - Sidewalks (5') - Curb & Gutter	Road	\$357,087	\$476,116	77	18.28	4	99.28	\$762,219
8308	Madison	Edwardsville	University Drive, Phase 1 - IL 157 To Devon Ct Resurfacing - Sidewalks(5') - Reconstruction	Road	\$337,769	\$450,359	78	18.46	2	98.46	\$1,099,988
8314	Madison	Maryville	Keebler Road, Phase 2 - Old Keebler Rd To Lorry Ln Resurfacing - Sidewalks (6')	Road	\$330,601	\$440,802	75	18.53	4	97.53	\$1,430,589
8300	Madison	Alton	Brown Street - Main St To Worden Ave Resurfacing - Curb Ramps	Road	\$450,000	\$600,000	75	17.40	5	97.40	\$1,880,589
8306	Madison	East Alton	Wood River Avenue, Phase 2 - 2nd St To 3rd St Reconstruction - Sidewalks (5')	Road	\$375,000	\$500,000	76	18.11	2	96.11	\$2,255,589
8319	St. Clair	Shiloh	Seibert Road - Lebanon Ave To 200' E/O Johnson Rd Resurfacing - Curb Ramps	Road	\$200,493	\$267,324	71	19.76	5	95.76	\$2,456,082
8320	St. Clair	St. Clair County	Hartman Ln, 80th St, Waterloo Rd - Over Harding Ditch, Richland Cr, Prairie Du Long Cr Bridge Deck Preservation - Replace Wearing Surface	Bridge	\$174,750	\$233,000	72	20.00	3	95.00	\$2,630,832
8305	Monroe	Columbia	Quarry Road, Phase 2 - Palmer Creek Dr To Rueck Rd Resurfacing - Shoulders (4') - Shared Use Path (10')	Road	\$398,250	\$531,000	74	17.89	3	94.89	\$3,029,082
8309	Madison	Godfrey	Pierce Lane, Phase 5 - Stamper Ln To 850' North Reconstruction - Sidewalk (5')	Road	\$396,975	\$529,300	75	17.90	1	93.90	\$3,426,057
8304	Madison	Collinsville	Summit Avenue, Phase 1 - Notting Hill Rd To Alco Dr Resurfacing - Sidewalks (5') - Curb/Gutter	Road	\$430,404	\$573,872	74	17.59	2	93.59	\$3,856,461
8317	St. Clair	O'Fallon	Simmons Road Bridge - Over Ogles Creek Replace Bridge	Bridge	\$674,250	\$899,000	81	7.45	5	93.45	\$4,530,711
8302	St. Clair	Cahokia	Jerome Lane - Range Ln To Nash St Resurfacing - Curb Ramps - Bike Lane (6')	Road	\$620,796	\$827,728	80	9.27	4	93.27	\$5,151,507
8311	Madison	Highland	6th Street - Pine St To Olive St Reconstruction - Sidewalk (5')	Road	\$511,000	\$730,000	79	12.23	2	93.23	\$5,662,507
8303	St. Clair	Centreville	Bond Avenue Drainage And Sidewalks - Old Missouri Ave To City Limits Sidewalks (5') - Reshape Ditches	Active	\$571,736	\$762,315	80	11.23	1	92.23	\$6,234,243
<b>Not recommended due to funding constraints</b>											
8323	Madison	Troy	Formosa Road - 730' N/O IL 162 To Spring Mill Dr Overlay - Shoulders (6') - Patching	Road	\$357,000	\$476,000	70	18.28	3	91.28	\$6,591,243
8301	Madison	Bethalto	Erwin Plegge Expressway - Old Bethalto Rd To Prairie St Resurfacing - Curb Ramps - Ped Beacon	Road	\$395,000	\$532,650	67	17.88	5	89.88	\$6,986,243
8318	St. Clair	Sauget	Sauget Lighting, Phase 2 - Queeny Lighting	Safety	\$438,895	\$585,194	66	17.50	5	88.50	\$7,425,138
8307	St. Clair	East St. Louis	Martin Luther King Dr - Collinsville Ave To 10th St Resurfacing - Ln Reduction (4 To 2) - B1 (9') - Repl. Signals	Road	\$986,297	\$1,315,063	81	1.21	4	86.21	\$8,411,435
8321	St. Clair	St. Clair County	S. Green Mount Road - At Old Freeburg Rd Realign Intersection	Safety	\$370,073	\$493,430	63	18.15	1	82.15	\$8,781,508
8315	Madison	Maryville	Pleasant Ridge Road - W. Main St To 1300' South Reconstruction - Sidewalk (5') - Storm Sewer	Road	\$719,655	\$959,540	69	6.24	1	76.24	\$9,501,163
8322	St. Clair	Swansea	IL 159 - At Huntwood/Grimmig Realign Grimmig Rd Intersection - Shared Use Path (10')	Safety	\$528,000	\$1,393,024	69	0.00	1	70.00	\$10,029,163
<b>Not recommended - More than one project per sponsor</b>											
8312	Madison	Madison	Sixth Street - Madison Ave To Alton Ave Resurfacing - Sidewalks (5')	Road	\$360,071	\$480,094	75	18.25	2	95.25	\$10,389,234
8310	Madison	Granite City	Madison Avenue - 23rd St To 26th St Resurfacing - Sidewalks (5')	Road	\$635,675	\$847,567	81	8.67	5	94.67	\$11,024,909

**Attachment B – FY 2020-2023 TIP – CMAQ - REVISED**

**Project Submittals (Ordered by Cost Effectiveness )**

ID Number	County	Sponsor	Project Title - Description	Metric Tons NOx Reduced	Metric Tons PM 2.5 Reduced	Metric Tons VOC Reduced	Federal Cost	Total Cost	Cost Effectiveness	Cumulative Federal Cost
<b>Recommended for funding</b>										
8402	Madison	Glen Carbon	IL 159 - At Glen Carbon Rd/Cottonwood Rd Add Right Turn Lanes (Eastbound/Northbound) - Sidewalk	0.0000432	0.0000020	0.0000329	\$329,735	\$412,192	\$8,659.07	\$329,735
8409	St. Clair	St. Clair County	Old Collinsville Road - At Ashland Ave Add Southbound Right Turn Lane	0.0000111	0.0000005	0.0000085	\$238,290	\$297,862	\$24,313.81	\$568,025
8411	St. Clair	St. Clair County Transit District/ Metro	Metro Bus Replacement - 2022 (A) - Replace Three Buses	0.0000917	0.0001400	0.0000000	\$1,207,966	\$1,509,957	\$26,338.00	\$1,775,991
8412	St. Clair	St. Clair County Transit District/ Metro	Metro Bus Replacement - 2022 (B) - Replace Three Buses	0.0000917	0.0001400	0.0000000	\$1,207,966	\$1,509,957	\$26,338.00	\$2,983,957
8405	Madison	Madison County Transit District	Bus Replacement - Replace Five 40' Buses	0.0000488	0.0000004	0.0000000	\$1,760,000	\$2,200,000	\$72,166.64	\$4,743,957
8408	St. Clair	Smithton	IL 159 (N. Main St) - Barker St To Sunset Dr Two Way Turn Lane - Shared Use Path (8')	0.0000073	0.0000002	0.0000024	\$525,600	\$657,000	\$108,528.08	\$5,269,557
<b>Not recommended due to funding constraints</b>										
8404	Madison	Granite City	Maryville Rd - At Stratford Ln/Emert Ave Roundabout - Sidewalk	0.0000062	0.0000003	0.0000047	\$693,540	\$1,203,656	\$127,651.20	\$5,963,097
8401	Madison	Edwardsville	IL 157 Multi-Use Path, Phase 3 - MCT Nature Trail To Lewis Rd Shared Use Path (10')	0.0000047	0.0000001	0.0000009	\$734,376	\$917,970	\$261,143.50	\$6,697,473
8400	Madison	Collinsville	Sugarloaf Road - At Sugar Ln Roundabout - Shared Use Path (10')	0.0000009	0.0000000	0.0000007	\$743,640	\$929,550	\$936,976.81	\$7,441,113
<b>Not recommended for funding - Sponsor has unexpended funds from project approved last year</b>										
8410	St. Clair	St. Clair County Transit District	Building Transit Ridership Through Reach & Rewards - Boundaries Of St. Clair Co - Bus Revision Engagement Program - Partnership To Build Ridership - Two Year Program	-	-	-	\$479,583	\$599,479	-	\$7,920,696
<b>Not recommended for funding - Emissions increased</b>										
8403	Madison	Granite City	Niedringhaus Avenue - At 21st St/Grand Ave Roundabout - Sidewalk (5')	-0.0000003	0.0000000	-0.0000003	\$681,264	\$1,144,475	-\$2,254,983.83	\$8,601,960
8407	St. Clair	O'Fallon	Seven Hills Road - At Wesley Dr Roundabout - Sidewalk (5')	-0.0000044	-0.0000002	-0.0000034	\$718,008	\$897,510	-\$183,685.40	\$9,319,968
<b>Project withdrawn by sponsor</b>										
8406	Multi-State	Madison County Transit District	Ridefinders Vanpool Workforce Initiative - IL - Vanpool Cost Assistance - Transportation From Low Income Communities To Employment Ctrs - Three Years Operating Assistance	-	-	-	\$72,000	\$90,000	-	\$9,391,968

Centreville – Bond Avenue

Comments in Support

Email: @stclaircohwy.com

Organization: St. Clair County

Do you live or work in the community where the project is proposed? Yes

Do you support, have concerns about or oppose this project? Highly support

What are the key reasons for your position? This area needs and deserves attention. Poorest community in our region.

Highland – 6<sup>th</sup> Street

Comments in Support

Email: @oatesassociates.com

Organization: Engineer, City of Highland

Do you live or work in the community where the project is proposed? Yes

Do you support, have concerns about or oppose this project? I strongly support the project.

What are the key reasons for your position? This roadway is classified as a minor arterial and the presence of industrial facilities on the route make this section of roadway a priority for the City. This improvement is needed to replace an old severely distressed pavement that has reached its service life. This section of Sixth Street was resurfaced in 2008, but has been a continual maintenance issue requiring numerous pavement repairs over the last 10 years.

Anything else you'd like us to consider or comments you'd like to share about this project?

In addition, the existing sidewalk and curb ramps are not ADA compliant and limit access for all users. The sidewalk and curb ramps will be reconstructed to meet ADA requirements providing safe and convenient accommodations for all pedestrians to navigate this route.

Email: @highlandil.gov

Organization: City of Highland

Do you live or work in the community where the project is proposed? Yes

Do you support, have concerns about or oppose this project? I support this project.

What are the key reasons for your position? This street has low curbing, easily floods and simply needs updating.

Email: @highlandil.gov

Organization: City of Highland

Do you live or work in the community where the project is proposed? Yes

Do you support, have concerns about or oppose this project? I support this project.

What are the key reasons for your position? This work is needed to improve our community.

Email: @highlandil.gov

Organization: City of Highland

Do you live or work in the community where the project is proposed? Yes

Do you support, have concerns about or oppose this project? I support this project.

What are the key reasons for your position? I have lived in Highland my whole life and it's always a good thing to make necessary updates to our streets and sidewalks.

Email: @mac.com

Do you live or work in the community where the project is proposed? Yes

Do you support, have concerns about or oppose this project? Support

What are the key reasons for your position? Safety

Anything else you'd like us to consider or comments you'd like to share about this project? Highland cannot afford this project without your support.

Maryville – Pleasant Ridge Road

Comments in Support

Email: @maryville-il.us

Organization: City of Maryville

Do you live or work in the community where the project is proposed? Yes

Do you support, have concerns about or oppose this project? I fully support this project.

What are the key reasons for your position? Pleasant Ridge Road is in a state of disrepair. The road is being utilized more now than in the past due to Keebler Oaks subdivision and the

development of Pleasant Ridge Park. The road usage will only increase in the future.

**Anything else you'd like us to consider or comments you'd like to share about this project?**

The road also gets utilized more by the Village of Maryville now that the Water Department Operations shed is located on Pleasant Ridge Road. This road has become a vital part of public facilities in Maryville.

**Maryville – Keebler Road, Phase 2**  
**Comments in Support**

Email: @glen-carbon.il.us

**Do you live or work in the community where the project is proposed?** Yes, resident of Maryville

**Do you support, have concerns about or oppose this project?** I strongly support this project as it will enhance the lives of Maryville residents.

**What are the key reasons for your position?**

Having safe roadways and sidewalks impacts all community members and makes our community a better place to live.

**St. Clair County – Green Mount Road**  
**Comments in Support**

Email: @stclaircohwy.com

**Organization:** St. Clair County

**Do you live or work in the community where the project is proposed?** Yes

**Do you support, have concerns about or oppose this project?** Strong support

**What are the key reasons for your position?** This location has needed attention for decades.

**Anything else you'd like us to consider or comments you'd like to share about this project?**

Excellent use of funds to work on the intersection.

**St. Clair County – Hartman Ln, 80<sup>th</sup> St, Waterloo Rd**  
**Comments in Support**

Email: @stclaircohwy.com

**Organization:** St. Clair County

**Do you live or work in the community where the project is proposed?** Yes

**Do you support, have concerns about or oppose this project?** Strongly support

**What are the key reasons for your position?**

Preserve the bridge.

**Anything else you'd like us to consider or comments you'd like to share about this project?**

Good use of taxpayers' dollars.

**St. Clair County – Old Collinsville Road**  
**Comments in Support**

Email: @stclaircohwy.com

**Organization:** St. Clair County

**Do you live or work in the community where the project is proposed?** Yes

**Do you support, have concerns about or oppose this project?** This project will get support from everyone using this intersection.

**What are the key reasons for your position?**

Traffic snarls are a waste of time and resources. Get this done.

**Anything else you'd like us to consider or comments you'd like to share about this project?**

Long overdue.

**Swansea – IL 159**  
**Comments in Support**

Email: @stclaircohwy.com

**Organization:** St. Clair County

**Do you live or work in the community where the project is proposed?** Yes

**Do you support, have concerns about or oppose this project?** Good project

**What are the key reasons for your position?** Well needed.

**Anything else you'd like us to consider or comments you'd like to share about this project?**

Great idea.