AGENDA
EAST-WEST GATEWAY COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
EXECUTIVE ADVISORY COMMITTEE
TUESDAY, MARCH 19, 2013 – 2:00 PM

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF FEBRUARY 19, 2013

3. DISCUSSION ITEMS
   A. Draft 2014 Unified Planning Work Program
   B. Regional Plan for Sustainable Development - Public Awareness Campaign and Local Government Workshops
   C. St. Louis Regional Chamber’s Initiative to Position St. Louis as one of the Top-Ten Metros in College Attainment

4. ACTION ITEMS
   A. Policy Regarding Semi-Annual Conformity Determination
   B. Modification of the FY 2013-2016 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), the Metropolitan Transportation Plan – RTP 2040, and the related Air Quality Conformity Determination – Requested by Illinois Project Sponsors
   C. Modification of the FY 2013-2016 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), the Metropolitan Transportation Plan – RTP 2040, and the related Air Quality Conformity Determination – Requested by Missouri Project Sponsors
   D. Adoption of the St. Louis Street Car Plan as an Illustrative Project in RTP 2040
   E. Authorization to Enter into an Agreement with the Missouri Resource Assessment Partnership (MoRAP) on the Regulatory Acceptability of Remotely Sensed Data Project
   F. Regional Security Expenditures

5. OTHER BUSINESS

6. ADJOURNMENT
Memo to:   Board of Directors  
From:        Staff      
Subject:    Project Notifications  
Date:      March 11, 2013

Attached is the Project Notification list for March 2013. The compiled list is a result of the weekly list of projects from the Missouri State Clearinghouse for comments. The listing contains a summary table which includes grant applications, announcements, and public notices. If you have any questions regarding this attachment, please contact Gary Pondrom in the Community Planning department.
## Project Reviews for East-West Gateway Council of Governments  
March 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JURISDICTION</th>
<th>APPLICANT</th>
<th>PROJECT DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>FEDERAL AGENCY</th>
<th>FEDERAL GRANT/LOAN</th>
<th>FUNDING: STATE LOCAL/OTHER</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City of St. Louis</td>
<td>Provident Services #1309002</td>
<td>Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Projects of Regional and National Significance - To provide funding for HopeAfter Phase II: Intensive follow-up for individuals at high risk for suicide</td>
<td>HHS</td>
<td>$179,832</td>
<td>Applicant: $199,879</td>
<td>$379,711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of St. Louis</td>
<td>Board of Education of the City of St. Louis #1309013</td>
<td>Magnet Schools Assistance - To provide funding for the St. Louis Magnet School STEM Initiative</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>$11,416,427</td>
<td></td>
<td>$11,416,427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin County</td>
<td>Franklin County Sheriff’s Office #1309017</td>
<td>Drug-Free Community Support Program Grants - To provide funding for the Strong Families = Strong Community program</td>
<td>HHS</td>
<td>$125,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$125,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MINUTES

EAST-WEST GATEWAY COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
EXECUTIVE ADVISORY COMMITTEE
FEBRUARY 19, 2013

Members Present
Joe Parente, Madison County
Gary Elmestad, Chair, St. Charles County, MO
Jim Stack, IDOT Dist. 8
Chip Casteel, St. Louis RCGA
Joe Hagerty, Madison County
Aaron Metzger, Monroe County
Randy Burk, SW IL Council of Mayors
Ronald Longley, City of East St. Louis, IL
Betsy Tracy, Federal Highway Administration, IL Region
Patrick Green, City of Normandy
Bill Schnell, MoDOT
Stephanie Leon Streeter, St. Louis County, MO
Kristy Yates, Jefferson County
Dave Hutchings, Jefferson County
Pauline Masson, Franklin County
Stephen Gregali, City of St. Louis

Others in Attendance
Chief Gary Bird, St. Charles City Fire Department
Mike Henderson, MoDOT
Joe Gray, IDOT Dist. 8
John Donovan, Federal Highway Administration
Tracy Beidleman, Metro
Tom Curran, St. Louis County
Council Staff: Ed Hillhouse, Jim Wild, Jerry Blair, Nick Gragnani, John Posey, Royce Bauer, Jason Lange, Anna Musial, Larry Grither, DJ Wilson, Peter Koeppel, Rachael Pawlak, Julie Stone, Lubna Shoaib, Staci Alvarez, Mike Coulson, John Whitaker, Mary Rocchio, MaryGrace Lewandowski, Alexis McGrail, Himmer Soberanis, Karen Kunkel

CALL TO ORDER

The Executive Advisory Committee was called to order by Chair Gary Elmestad.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF JANUARY 22, 2013 MEETING

Motion was made by Mr. Stack, seconded by Mr. Burk, to approve the minutes of the January 22, 2013 meeting. Motion carried, all voting aye.
DISCUSSION ITEMS

St. Louis Regional School Emergency Communications Initiative Update
Nick Gragnani, STARRS, reported on a study to determine the feasibility of providing emergency communications equipment to schools to report school violence. He advised that discussions with the STARRS communication core group, and school safety officials in some of the counties, resulted in agreement that portable radios, similar to walkie-talkies, would be optimal and could be operable under the Local Radio Network System currently in place. The estimated cost for the recommended dual band portable radios for 1,159 schools in Missouri and Illinois would be between $1 and $2 million. He added that funding is not currently available to purchase the radios.

Mr. Gragnani noted that St. Clair County has had a successful program in operation since 2006 where, under a countywide talk group, the County provides the radio network and the schools provide their own radios.

Additional discussion followed regarding the viability of radios over calling 911; the possible range issues associated with reinforced concrete contained in some school structures; the procurement procedures associated with purchasing the radios; and maintenance costs and responsibilities once they are in place.

ACTION ITEMS

Election of Officers for 2013
Mr. Hillhouse advised that Executive Advisory Committee officers are appointed by the officers of the Board of the Directors, and accordingly, no election or action by the Committee is necessary. Officers appointed for 2013 are Joe Parente, Chair, and Steve Gregali, Vice Chair.

The gavel was then turned over to Mr. Parente.

Policy Regarding the Use of CMAQ Funding for Alternative Fueled School Buses
Jason Lange, EWG, summarized staff’s recommendation to limit funding for alternative fuel school buses to 2.5 percent of Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program funds during a project solicitation round, with participation limited to the incremental cost difference between an alternative fuel school bus and a conventional diesel or gas bus. Absent the receipt of applications, the funding balance will be used for other project types and will not be carried over to future funding rounds.

Motion was made by Mr. Hutchings, seconded by Mr. Gregali.

Mr. Elmestad introduced a Motion, seconded by Mr. Metzger, to amend staff’s recommendation to reduce the funding limit to one percent. Roll call vote was taken and the Motion failed.

Motion approving the 2.5% limit was carried, all voting aye with the exception of Messrs. Metzger, Burk, and Elmestad.
Modification of the FY 2013-2016 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), the Metropolitan Transportation Plan – *RTP 2040*, and the related Air Quality Conformity Determination – Requested by Illinois Project Sponsors

Mr. Lange, EWG, summarized the following Illinois sponsors’ requests to amend the FY 2013-2016 TIP, RTP 2040 and related Air Quality Conformity Determination to add and/or modify the following projects:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP #</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5503-15</td>
<td>IDOT</td>
<td>Add</td>
<td>Madison County – I-55 – Rubblization and overlay 1.3 miles north of IL 140 to 0.5 miles north of IL 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5908-13</td>
<td>IDOT</td>
<td>Add</td>
<td>Madison County – IL 255 – Resurfacing - Norfolk southern RR 0.5 miles south of Poag Rd to IL 143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5911-13</td>
<td>IDOT</td>
<td>Add</td>
<td>Madison County – I-70 – Patching - I-55/I-270 Bond County line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5909-13</td>
<td>IDOT</td>
<td>Add</td>
<td>Madison County – IL 143 – Bridge replacement over Sugar Creek – one mile west of Pierron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5910-13</td>
<td>IDOT</td>
<td>Add</td>
<td>St. Clair County – IL4/IL 15 – Bridge rehabilitation over Kaskaskia River – 0.2 miles east of IL 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5914-13</td>
<td>Monroe County</td>
<td>Add</td>
<td>Monroe County – Kaskaskia Road – Signing, shoulders, rumble strips, skid proofing .25 miles south of IL 3 to .63 miles south of IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5239-14</td>
<td>Shiloh</td>
<td>Add</td>
<td>St. Clair County – Shiloh Station Road, Phase 1 – Road Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4245C-11</td>
<td>IDOT</td>
<td>Modify</td>
<td>Madison County – IL 159 - .3 miles south of Beltline Rd to .2 miles north of Morrison Rd – widening roads to four lanes (and center turn lane)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5485-14</td>
<td>IDOT</td>
<td>Modify</td>
<td>St. Clair County – IL 13 – Resurfacing .1 miles south of Kaskaskia River to .2 miles south of old IL 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4379-08</td>
<td>IDOT</td>
<td>Modify</td>
<td>St. Clair County – I-255 over I-64 - Bridge repairs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Motion was made by Mr. Gregali, seconded by Mr. Hutchings. Motion carried, all voting aye.

Modification of the FY 2013-2016 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), the Metropolitan Transportation Plan – *RTP 2040*, and the related Air Quality Conformity Determination – Requested by Missouri Project Sponsors

Mr. Lange, EWG, summarized the following Missouri sponsors’ requests to amend the FY 2013-2016 TIP, RTP 2040 and related Air Quality Conformity Determination to add and/or modify the following projects:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP #</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5661A-13</td>
<td>Metro</td>
<td>Add</td>
<td>Multi County- Transit Asset Management, Phase 2- Continued asset management development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5703-13</td>
<td>MoDOT</td>
<td>Modify</td>
<td>St. Charles County – Various routes and locations – Job order contracting for guardrail repair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5274-13</td>
<td>MoDOT</td>
<td>Modify</td>
<td>Jefferson and Franklin Counties – Various routes and locations – Job order contracting for guardrail repair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5725-13</td>
<td>MoDOT</td>
<td>Modify</td>
<td>St. Louis County and City – Various routes and locations – Job order contracting for guardrail repair</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Motion was made by Mr. Gregali, seconded by Mr. Stack. Motion carried, all voting aye.
Regional Security Expenditures
Mr. Gragnani, STARRS, summarized staff’s request for the following expenditures, totaling $1,322,335. The expenditures will be funded from ASPR, MMRS, Port Security and UASI grant programs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purchase</td>
<td>Meridian Medical Technologies – 930 auto-injector units containing atropine and pralidoxime chloride and 75 trainer units</td>
<td>$40,581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase</td>
<td>3South LLC – three Handheld Chemical Identification Meters</td>
<td>$164,675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase</td>
<td>Leo Ellebracht Company – Western Shelter Tent System and various technical equipment</td>
<td>$288,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase</td>
<td>Harvester Small Engine – Two 76.5 cc chain saws with 25 inch bars and four 3 kilowatt generators</td>
<td>$23,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase</td>
<td>Bertels Sales and Service – Technical rescue equipment</td>
<td>$11,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase</td>
<td>MilPro Marine – two Zodiac inflatable rescue boats with 40 HP Evinrude outboard motors.</td>
<td>$111,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase</td>
<td>Missouri Great Dane – 30 foot equipment trailer</td>
<td>$29,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase</td>
<td>Wise Safety – Technical rescue equipment consisting of rope rescue systems, air monitors, carabiners, prusiks and personal protective equipment</td>
<td>$18,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase</td>
<td>Grainger - Technical rescue equipment – edge protectors, pulleys, storage cases, flares, hand tools, power equipment and personal protective equipment</td>
<td>$10,820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase</td>
<td>Lifesaving Systems Corporation – Personal protective equipment consisting of flotation devices, water gloves, throw bags, lifting devices, spine boards, flight suits, gloves and helmets</td>
<td>$27,405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase</td>
<td>N-Vision – helmet mount night vision system</td>
<td>$15,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase</td>
<td>Dave Sinclair Ford – 2013 Ford F-450 tow vehicle</td>
<td>$47,785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase</td>
<td>Clifford Power – 400 kilowatt, multi-voltage, towable emergency generator</td>
<td>$136,678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase</td>
<td>InMotion Technology, Inc. - 58 mobile routers</td>
<td>$144,376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase</td>
<td>TSSi – Two cadaver rack systems</td>
<td>$65,180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase</td>
<td>TSSi – Two Mortuary Enhanced Cooling Systems</td>
<td>$51,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase</td>
<td>Leo M. Ellebracht Company – one Western Shelter Tent System</td>
<td>$57,769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase</td>
<td>Mike’s, Inc. – Two 20kw generators</td>
<td>$30,520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase</td>
<td>Missouri Great Dane – Two 24 foot equipment trailers</td>
<td>$28,886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase</td>
<td>C&amp;C Group – Two 16-channel DVRs</td>
<td>$16,960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>Commercial Vessel Boarding training increased to $19,200</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Motion was made by Mr. Stack, seconded by Mr. Gregali. Motion carried, all voting aye.

OTHER BUSINESS

In response to Mr. Elmestad’s request, John Posey, EWG, provided a brief summary of the latest Where We Stand update.
ADJOURNMENT

Motion was made by Mr. Schnell, seconded by Mr. Stack, to adjourn the meeting. Motion carried, all voting aye.

Respectfully submitted,

[Signature]

Ed Hillhouse
Secretary, Board of Directors
Memo to: Board of Directors

From: Staff

Subject: Draft FY 2014 Unified Planning Work Program

Date: March 12, 2013

We are providing the draft FY 2014 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) this month for your review and information. The UPWP describes all of the planning work activities that the staff and other local agencies will undertake over the coming year beginning on July 1. The document serves as the scope of work for contracts with the states and federal agencies that provide much of the funding for planning and related activities in the region. Over the next month the UPWP will be reviewed and discussed with our funding agencies and participating jurisdictions/agencies. We also welcome comments and questions from the Board of Directors. After approval from the funding agencies and input from the Board, we will bring it back to the Board in April for approval.

All agencies designated as metropolitan planning organizations are required by federal law to adopt three documents. The UPWP is prepared every year; the long-range transportation plan is published every four years and the transportation improvement program every four years (with annual updates). This document encompasses the activities that staff propose to undertake during the twelve month period starting July 1, 2013. Some of the activities described in the UPWP are currently underway and will continue during the period and others will start at various times during the year depending on funding availability.

We are projecting funding for the upcoming fiscal year in the amount of $22,727,568, as shown in the FY2014 Funding Summary Chart. The majority of this funding is either passed through or is spent on behalf of other agencies. Approximately 46% of the total funding, or $10.4 million, comes from the Urban Areas Security Initiative, and $9 million of that is for purchases of equipment and services on behalf of public safety and health agencies in the region. Our internal staffing costs for the whole agency (personnel and related internal costs) total approximately $6 million. Some of the funding levels shown in the UPWP are estimates and may be revised as the funds become available during the year.

Memo to: Board of Directors

From: Staff

Subject: Semi-Annual Conformity Determination

Date: March 12, 2013

The conformity process is intended to ensure that the programs and activities proposed in the region’s long-range transportation plan and Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) conform to State Implementation Plans (statewide air quality plans), which set out benchmarks against which progress is measured in meeting national goals for cleaner and healthier air. Under the transportation conformity regulations, the Council is the agency responsible for conducting the conformity determination.

The conformity analysis typically is completed during the annual update of the TIP and the long-range transportation plan. Projects that receive the most scrutiny during the conformity process are those that add capacity to the transportation system by building new roads, new interchanges or by adding through lanes to existing roads. Whenever modifications are made to the TIP that includes projects that add capacity to the system, the Council is required to make a new conformity determination. If a capacity-adding project is not included in a conformity analysis, it cannot be added to the TIP.

The development of the conformity determination is a time consuming process that can take four to five months from beginning to end. This includes one month to run the travel demand model, complete the regional emissions analysis and publish a draft conformity determination document. The draft conformity determination is released to the Interagency Consultation Group (IACG) for their review fifteen days prior to the document’s release for public comment. The IACG consists of representatives from East-West Gateway, State, and local air quality planning agencies as well as Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration, and the Environmental Protection Agency. Following the IACG review, the document is released for public comment for a minimum of thirty days. Following the public comment period, the document is submitted to the Board of Directors for approval. When the Board of Directors approves the conformity determination, it is submitted to the Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration for review. The federal agencies require 30 days to review and approve the conformity determination.
If more than two conformity determinations are performed during a single year, there exists a possibility of having multiple versions of a conformity determination document out for public comment and federal review at the same time. To ensure this situation is avoided, staff proposes a semi-annual conformity determination schedule to limit the number of conformity determination documents to two each year. An exception would be made if a major transportation funding program outside of the current transportation law is approved (i.e. American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009).

The table below details the schedule for the semi-annual conformity determination.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Semi-Annual Conformity Determination</th>
<th>Annual</th>
<th>Semi-Annual¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Projects due</td>
<td>March 31</td>
<td>October 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepare and run travel demand model/ complete</td>
<td>April 1 – April</td>
<td>October 16-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>draft conformity determination</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>November 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IACG review</td>
<td>May 1 – May 15</td>
<td>December 1 –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>December 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board review</td>
<td>May (last Wed)</td>
<td>mid December²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public participation</td>
<td>June 1 – July 1</td>
<td>December 16 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>January 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board approval</td>
<td>July (last Wed)</td>
<td>January (last</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal approval</td>
<td>August 1 – August 31</td>
<td>February 1 – February 28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTES:
1. Will only be conducted if there are projects submitted by the project due date
2. Draft conformity determination to be emailed. No Board of Directors meetings in November or December

All dates are illustrative and subject to change. A revised schedule will be provided at the beginning of each year

**Staff Recommendation:** Staff recommends approval of the semi-annual conformity determination schedule. An exception would be made if a major transportation funding program outside of the current transportation law is approved (i.e. American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009).
To: Board of Directors  

From: Staff  

Subject: Modification of the FY 2013-2016 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) – Requested by the Illinois Department of Transportation  

Date: March 12, 2013  

The Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT) has requested modifications to the FY 2013-2016 TIP, RTP 2040, and related Air Quality Conformity Determination. IDOT is requesting to add three new projects.

The three new IDOT projects involve pavement preservation. IDOT is requesting to add a resurfacing project along IL 3 at the intersections of IL 143, Poag Road, Chain of Rocks Road, and Pontoon Road. This project will also resurface 500 feet of road approaching the intersections. Total cost is $3,000,000. The next project is for a microsurfacing of I-270 from 0.5 miles east of IL 3 to 0.5 miles east of I-55/70. Microsurfacing is a road surfacing method that consists of applying a new thin surface to the roadway. Total cost is $3,500,000. The third pavement project is for resurfacing and patching I-64 from 0.2 miles west of IL 157 to Greenmount Road. Total cost is $800,000. These projects will be funded from cost savings of other projects already completed during the current fiscal year.

The new projects are summarized below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP#</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Project Title - Limits</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Federal Cost</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5918-13/IDOT</td>
<td>IL 3 at IL 143, Poag Rd, Chain of Rocks Rd, and Pontoon Rd</td>
<td>Resurfacing intersection approaches (500’)</td>
<td>Madison</td>
<td>$2,400,000</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5919-13/IDOT</td>
<td>I-270 – 0.5 mi e/o IL 3 to 0.5 mi e/o I-55/70</td>
<td>Microsurfacing</td>
<td>Madison</td>
<td>$3,150,000</td>
<td>$3,500,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5920-13/IDOT</td>
<td>I-64 – 0.2 mi w/o IL 157 to Greenmount Rd</td>
<td>Resurfacing &amp; patching</td>
<td>St. Clair</td>
<td>$720,000</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$6,270,000</td>
<td>$7,300,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends that the FY 2013-2016 TIP, RTP 2040, and related Air Quality Conformity Determination be revised to add three new projects as summarized above and detailed in the attachment. These projects are exempt with regard to air quality.
March 12, 2013

Amendment # 0313-033  
TIP # 5918-13

PROJECT SPONSOR: IDOT

ACTION REQUESTED: Revise FY 2013 of the FY 2013-2016 TIP to add a project

TITLE: IL 3

LIMITS: At intersections of IL 143, Poag Rd, Chain of Rocks Rd, and Pontoon Rd

DESCRIPTION: Resurfacing approaches (500’)

COUNTY: Madison

FUNDING SOURCE: Surface Transportation Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Federal</th>
<th>Match</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>$2,400,000</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$2,400,000</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AIR QUALITY CONFORMITY: Exempt – Pavement resurfacing and/or rehabilitation (§ 93.126)

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Approval
March 12, 2013

Amendment # 0313-034
TIP # 5919-13

PROJECT SPONSOR: IDOT

ACTION REQUESTED: Revise FY 2013 of the FY 2013-2016 TIP to add a project

TITLE: I-270

LIMITS: 0.5 miles east of IL 3 to 0.5 miles east of I-55/70

DESCRIPTION: Microsurfacing

COUNTY: Madison

FUNDING SOURCE: National Highway Performance Program (NHPP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Federal</th>
<th>Match</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>$3,150,000</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
<td>$3,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,150,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$350,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,500,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AIR QUALITY CONFORMITY: Exempt – Pavement resurfacing and/or rehabilitation (§ 93.126)

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Approval
March 12, 2013

Amendment # 0313-035
TIP # 5920-13

PROJECT SPONSOR: IDOT

ACTION REQUESTED: Revise FY 2013 of the FY 2013-2016 TIP to add a project

TITLE: I-64

LIMITS: 0.2 miles west of IL 157 to Greenmount Road

DESCRIPTION: Resurfacing and patching

COUNTY: St. Clair

FUNDING SOURCE: National Highway Performance Program (NHPP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Federal</th>
<th>Match</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>$720,000</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$720,000</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AIR QUALITY CONFORMITY: Exempt – Pavement resurfacing and/or rehabilitation (§ 93.126)

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Approval
To: Board of Directors

From: Staff

Subject: Modification of the FY 2013-2016 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) – Requested by the Missouri Department of Transportation

Date: March 12, 2013

The Missouri Department of Transportation (MoDOT) has requested modifications to the FY 2013-2016 TIP, *RTP 2040*, and related Air Quality Conformity Determination. MoDOT is requesting to add two new projects and modify one project currently in the FY 2013-2016 TIP.

New Projects

MoDOT is requesting to add a project on MO W from south of White Oak School Road to south of Mid-America Drive. This project includes an overlay and would raise the grade of MO W by eliminating a dip in the roadway. Construction is programmed in FY 2014. The total cost is $816,000. Due to right of way acquisition issues, this project is being split from TIP# 4411R-09 (see modified project section). The second project is for an overlay of MO H from MO 94 to MO J. Total cost is $395,000.

These projects will be funded from cost savings of other projects already completed during the current fiscal year. The new projects are summarized below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TIP#/ Sponsor</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4411RR-13/MoDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5729C-13/MoDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Executive Advisory Committee Meeting Packet - March 19, 2013 - Page 17*
Modified Project

MoDOT is requesting to modify the scope of work of one project. The modified scope reflects the revised project limits without the 0.25 mile segment of MO W (to be built in FY 2014 and described above). However, the overall programmed cost will remain the same due to unexpected increases to the costs in other areas of the project. The modified project is summarized below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP#/ Sponsor/ County</th>
<th>Route/Limits</th>
<th>Description of Work</th>
<th>Current Cost/ Revised Cost</th>
<th>Reason for Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4411R-09/ MoDOT/ Jefferson</td>
<td>MO W – MO 30 to south of Mid-America Dr and south of White Oak School Road to MO FF/109; MO 109 – MO FF/W to Meramec River</td>
<td>Resurface, add shoulders where possible, realign curve at LaFarge Road and add turn lanes at Twin Rivers Road, Winnifred Drive and Byrnesville Road</td>
<td>$6,623,000/ $6,623,000</td>
<td>Segment between White Oak School Road and Mid-America Drive split from project and will be constructed in 2014. Remainder of project to be built beginning in FY 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Staff Recommendation:** Staff recommends that the FY 2013-2016 TIP, *RTP 2040*, and related Air Quality Conformity Determination be revised to add two new projects and modify one project summarized above and detailed in the attachment. These projects are exempt with regard to air quality.
March 12, 2013

Amendment # 0313-036
TIP # 4411RR-13
MoDOT #: 6S2207B

PROJECT SPONSOR: MoDOT

ACTION REQUESTED: Revise FY 2013 and 2014 of the FY 2013-2016 TIP to add a project

TITLE: MO W

LIMITS: South of White Oak School Rd to south of Mid-America Dr

DESCRIPTION: Pavement improvements – raise grade to eliminate dip

COUNTY: Jefferson

FUNDING SOURCE: National Highway System (NHS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Federal</th>
<th>Match</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>$532,800</td>
<td>$133,200</td>
<td>$666,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$652,800</strong></td>
<td><strong>$163,200</strong></td>
<td><strong>$816,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AIR QUALITY CONFORMITY: 
Exempt – Projects that correct, improve, or eliminate a hazardous location or feature (§ 93.126)

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Approval
March 12, 2013

Amendment # 0313-037
TIP # 5729C-13
MoDOT # 6S3010C

PROJECT SPONSOR: MoDOT

ACTION REQUESTED: Revise FY 2013 of the FY 2013-2016 TIP to add a project

TITLE: MO H

LIMITS: MO 94 to MO J

DESCRIPTION: Pavement improvements

COUNTY: St. Charles

FUNDING SOURCE: Surface Transportation Program (STP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Federal</th>
<th>Match</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>$312,000</td>
<td>$78,000</td>
<td>$390,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$316,000</td>
<td>$79,000</td>
<td>$395,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AIR QUALITY CONFORMANCE: Exempt – Pavement resurfacing and/or rehabilitation (§ 93.126)

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Approval
Memo to: Board of Directors
From: Staff
Subject: Adoption of the St. Louis Streetcar Project into RTP 2040 as an Illustrative Project
Date: March 12, 2013

The Partnership for Downtown St. Louis recently completed a feasibility study for a streetcar that would serve the St. Louis CBD, the near northside, Midtown, and the Central West End. An Executive Summary of the study is enclosed. While the study was funded by the Partnership, staff from the City of St. Louis, Metro, and the Council were active on the study’s steering committee. The study established the feasibility of the streetcar, along with its potential costs and benefits, and recommended advancing it into the Federal Transit Administration’s (FTA) project development process, specifically a federally-required environmental analysis. If the region pursues FTA funds for the environmental analysis, the project must first be adopted into RTP 2040, the Council’s long-range transportation plan.

As evaluated in the feasibility study, the streetcar would consist of both east-west and north-south alignments, operating primarily in street rights-of-way. The east-west alignment would begin at the Central West End MetroLink station and extend east into the St. Louis CBD. From the Central West End to downtown, the streetcar would operate on a double track in the center of Olive/Lindell. East of 14th, the streetcar would transition to single-track operation along Olive, 6th, Chestnut, 7th, and Locust, creating a downtown loop. The north-south alignment would begin at North Florissant and St. Louis Avenue and extend south, on double track, in the center of North Florissant and 14th. In the downtown area, the streetcar would follow the aforementioned loop before re-entering 14th. The southern terminus would be the Multimodal Transportation Center.

The system would use low-platform, modern streetcars, similar to light rail vehicles. Proposed hours of operation and headways would be comparable to MetroLink’s, providing frequent service from 5:00 am to midnight. Estimated weekday ridership associated with that level of service is 7,700, with an annual operating cost of just under $10 million.

Capital cost estimates range from $218 to $271 million. The higher cost reflects more extensive street and sidewalk reconstruction and streetscaping. The preliminary financing plan assumes 50 percent federal (New Starts) funding for the project’s capital
cost, with the local capital match and annual operating expense derived from funds generated from a new transportation development district (TDD). TDD revenues would be derived from a special property assessment in the corridor. Developing a financing plan for the project that will not affect revenues currently dedicated to Metro is a critical consideration as the project moves forward.

Part of the impetus for the project, and one of its most important benefits, is its economic development potential. The streetcar would operate in areas that are both economically vibrant and economically distressed, creating opportunities to strengthen existing economic and residential activity and generating new activity throughout the corridor. Early estimates of the potential development impact of the streetcar are $540 million in the first five years and $2.1 billion over 20 years. Those figures incorporate a mix of new residential and commercial development.

Given the project’s potential, both as a transportation asset and a catalyst for economic development, staff recommends that the Board adopt the St. Louis Streetcar into RTP 2040 as an illustrative project. The *illustrative* designation means that the project does not currently meet the financial capacity test and does not, therefore, rise to the level of a priority project within the context of the long-range transportation plan. Further, this Board action would not guarantee funding for the project, but would enable the project to move forward in the federal development process as funding becomes available, either from the federal government or new local revenue sources.

If the project does move forward, the next step will be an environmental analysis. This analysis will examine alignment and operating alternatives, evaluate in more detail costs, impacts and benefits, formalize a financing and project management plan, and select a preferred alternative to advance into engineering and design.

**Staff Recommendation:** Staff recommends that the Board of Directors adopt the St. Louis Streetcar into RTP 2040, the region’s long-range transportation plan, as an illustrative project.
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The Partnership for Downtown St. Louis sponsored the St. Louis Streetcar Feasibility Study, a six-month study to determine the feasibility of modern streetcars linking Downtown St. Louis, the near Northside, Midtown, and the Central West End. The purpose of the study was to:

- support the goals established in The Downtown Next 2020 Vision to improve Downtown’s accessibility;
- create a catalyst for continued economic development;
- provide additional opportunities for alternative transportation;
- support the region’s and City’s sustainability initiatives; and
- promote an environment that will retain and attract new jobs and residents to the City.

When St. Louis University announced plans to move their law school from midtown St. Louis to downtown, the Partnership for Downtown St. Louis once again began the discussion of a streetcar for downtown. This move presented an opportunity to link the two campuses with a streetcar and fulfill the goals of the Downtown Next Plan. The Partnership for Downtown St. Louis raised the private funds for the study and through a competitive selection process, selected URS Corporation to conduct the feasibility study because of the firm’s national modern streetcar and local transit experience.

OBJECTIVES. The streetcar is intended to provide convenient connections to large numbers of jobs, residences and major destinations. It is designed to be an important part of the region’s overall transit system. And, reflecting the impact of other modern streetcars in the United States, it is designed to have a major impact on economic development throughout the corridors.

MARKETS. Employment and residential concentrations are the keys to transit success. The St. Louis Streetcar serves two of the greatest employment concentrations in the St. Louis region, Downtown St. Louis and the Central West End, and areas that are increasing in residential density. It also serves numerous major destinations as noted on the alignment map.

ALIGNMENT. As depicted on the map on the following page, the streetcar has an east-west alignment and a north-south alignment. The east-west alignment runs from a western terminus at the Central West End MetroLink station, with double track in the center of the Lindell-Olive corridor. In downtown, east of 14th Street, it is single track on Olive, 6th, Chestnut, 7th and Locust Streets. The north-south alignment’s northern terminus is on North Florissant Avenue at St. Louis Avenue. The double-track route runs south on North Florissant Avenue and 14th Streets, uses the single-track loop through downtown, terminating on 14th Street at Metro’s Civic Center station, which connects to the St. Louis Gateway Multimodal Transportation Center, including Amtrak train and Greyhound bus terminals.
St. Louis Streetcar Feasibility Study
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Legend

- Proposed St. Louis Streetcar Alignments - Frequent Stations
- Streetcar Terminal To Be Determined
- Existing Metrolink Line With Stations
- Proposed Metrolink Station
- Proposed Grand Bus Rapid Transit
- Proposed Northside/Southside LRT Alignment
VEHICLES. The streetcars will be modern vehicles intended to attract high daily ridership and to provide the comfortable ride expected by regular transit users. The vehicles are similar to light-rail vehicles, as they have both overhead wires and same track gauge, and a comparable look and feel. Modern streetcars are available in a range of sizes. The vehicles selected for St. Louis would reflect required passenger capacity for the initial line and potential system expansion.

SERVICE LEVELS. Reflecting the objective of having the streetcar be a key part of the overall transit system, service hours will be similar to Metro’s, with weekday hours being 5:00 AM until midnight. Trains would run every 10 minutes at peak periods and every 15 minutes off-peak.

CAPITAL COST. The total capital cost is estimated between $218 million and $271 million, depending on such elements as streetscaping. Given the alignment length, the operating plan, and intention to serve serious transit markets, the overall cost is comparable with other streetcar projects around the United States.

OPERATING COST. Assuming the aggressive operating plan noted above and existing Metro compensation practices, the annual operating cost is estimated at $9.7 million.

RIDERSHIP. Average weekday ridership for the streetcar is estimated at 7,700. This is high by United States streetcar standards. This is similar to the estimated streetcar ridership for the first line in Portland, which is now operating their fourth expansion of the system. It is reflective of the strong markets served and its aggressive operating plan. It is important to note that the streetcar is forecast to bring an additional 2,700 riders to the overall transit system and to increase existing MetroLink ridership. The streetcar will provide a complementary service to the existing transit system and help to serve the short-distance trips in the corridors.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT. The streetcar, along with favorable market conditions and a supportive policy environment, is expected to help spur development throughout the corridors. This is consistent with experience elsewhere in North America. Overall development impact is estimated at $540 million in the first five years and $2.1 billion over 20 years. Given the development in certain areas along the corridors, the estimate is considered to be conservative. For example, in the last 10 years, downtown has experienced $5 billion in investment.

TRAFFIC IMPACT. Although the streetcar will be operating in city streets, and in most cases in mixed traffic (except for a separate right-of-way on Olive Street between 14th Street and Grand Boulevard), analysis completed for the streetcar study found that the impact on vehicular flow will be minimal.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT. There are no environment fatal flaws that could prevent implementation of the streetcar project.

FUNDING. It is assumed that the project will require significant federal financial support for capital costs, potentially up to 50 percent of the total. Based on current federal evaluation criteria, the St. Louis Streetcar would be likely to score well in the competitive funding process, especially given its strong ridership and development performance. Given that the State of Missouri has historically not provided funding for transit, this plan assumes no funding at the state level. For the local match, a transportation development district (TDD) is recommended for the corridors, with a tiered special assessment based on land use. Based on preliminary analysis, the TDD is expected to generate $10 million annually. This TDD combined with the farebox revenues, and potential saving from duplicative bus route operations could support the annual operations and debt service for the project.

COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT. The Partnership established a Steering Committee made up of experts and community leaders to review the Feasibility
Study process and findings. The Steering Committee consisted of agency partners, technical experts and representatives of organizations who had expressed early interest in the project. In addition to the Steering Committee, the Partnership and Study Team held over 70 stakeholder meetings that included over 100 stakeholders. These stakeholders included politicians and their staff at the national, state, and local levels. Governmental offices whose departments may oversee parts of a streetcar project were consulted regularly. Regional, civic, community, and private organizations with projects or services in the impacted area of a streetcar were also consulted. These meetings were held both to inform those about the Feasibility Study and to receive feedback and advice.

In these informal discussions, the stakeholders overwhelmingly expressed support for the concept and could identify how the streetcar would specifically benefit their community. At the stakeholder Breakfast and public Open House, over 270 people attended and provided 141 comments on the project.

CONCLUSION

THE STUDY CONCLUDED THAT THE STREETCAR WOULD:

- Serve strong transit corridors with residential density and employment concentration,
- Serve approximately 7,700 riders per weekday, a strong performance for a new streetcar line,
- Complement, not compete with, existing Metro service,
- Spur an estimated $540 million in development in its first five years of operation, and $2.1 billion over 20 years,
- Have little impact on existing vehicular traffic and no serious environmental impacts,
- Have a strong likelihood of receiving federal capital funding, and
- Have strong support from stakeholders and local officials.

THE BENEFITS OF THE STREETCAR INCLUDE:

- Attracting new residents who want alternatives to the automobile in the corridors,
- Attracting new employers who value access to transit,
- Connecting and building neighborhoods,
- Creating new jobs in the city,
- Providing access to jobs, institutions, schools, grocery stores and medical services, and
- Creating pedestrian-friendly urban environments.

NEXT STEPS

The next steps for the project are outlined in the timeline below. To be eligible to secure federal funding, the streetcar project needs to be adopted into East-West Gateway Council of Government’s long-range transportation plan. An entity will also need to be identified that can help facilitate the campaign to establish the proposed transportation development district and detailed financing plan. This entity can be managed by an existing organization or a new one can be created specifically for this project. After that, the next technical phase of project development would be a federally-required environmental analysis led by East-West Gateway. In parallel with that analysis, project sponsors would need to finalize a financial plan and a project management plan, both required to advance the project into engineering.

In addition to completing the first modern streetcar line in St. Louis, it is intended that this initial line can serve as the spine for a larger streetcar network that links additional destination and jobs throughout the region.

Adoption in East-West Gateway Long Range Transportation Plan
Spring 2013

Environmental Assessment
Fall 2013 - 2014

Financial and Management Plans
Fall 2013-2014

Engineering and Design
2015

Construction
2016 - 2017

Opening
2017-2018
Memo to: Board of Directors

From: Staff

Subject: Authorization to Enter into an Agreement with the Missouri Resource Assessment Partnership (MoRAP) on the Regulatory Acceptability of Remotely Sensed Data Project

Date: March 12, 2013

East-West Gateway has been awarded $195,365 from the National Academy of Science (NAS) as part of the Strategic Highway Research Program II. With this funding East-West Gateway staff will conduct a pilot project to determine a geospatial tools’ acceptability, in terms of regulatory decision-making, by using the ecological significance layer previously developed by staff.

In July 2012 the Board of Directors authorized an amendment to the Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) to add work element 2.22: Ecological Approach to Infrastructure Development, Regulatory Acceptability of Remotely Sensed Data and authorized the Executive Director to enter into an agreement with NAS to accept the grant funding and conduct the project. While East-West Gateway is the recipient of the grant funding and will be managing the grant and project work, we have one partner for the project, the Missouri Resource Assessment Partnership (MoRAP), that will enter into an agreement with East-West Gateway to confirm its participation and perform certain services. MoRAP’s services include: collaborating with the research team to integrate local tools and perspective; conducting an assessment of the method used and data compiled as part of the project; completing enhancements to the geospatial tools and data; and testing the usability of the geospatial tool.

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Board of Director authorize the Executive director to enter into a contract with MoRAP for an amount not to exceed $60,000, to be paid from the NAS grant.
Memo to: Board of Directors  
From: Staff  
Subject: Regional Security Expenditures  
Date: March 12, 2013

We are requesting authorization to expend funds for regional security that will improve the region’s preparedness and response capabilities. Funding for these expenditures will come from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Assistant Secretary of Preparedness and Response (ASPR) program grant and the U.S. Department of Homeland Security’s Metropolitan Medical Response System (MMRS), Port Security, and Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI) grant programs. Attachment A summarizes these purchases totaling $1,197,278. Also attached is a summary description of all budgeted expenditures from the UASI grants (Attachment B).

1. **Critical Response Teams – Law Enforcement**

   **Forward Looking Infrared (FLIR) Thermal Imaging Camera** – We are requesting approval to purchase a FLIR thermal imaging camera to be installed on the Metro Air Support Unit’s new helicopter. The thermal imaging camera increases the Unit’s surveillance capability and improves their ability to monitor the region’s critical infrastructure while increasing both the effectiveness and safety of patrolled flights. The FLIR camera illuminates ground targets (e.g., wanted suspects, missing children, or crime scene evidence, etc.) in lowlight conditions from long ranges. The infrared camera has a built in long range laser that locks on objects at ground level which assists with directing ground units to the target. Total Cost will not exceed $241,396.

   **Night Vision Monoculars** – The efficiency of a law enforcement tactical team drops significantly in low light conditions. Night vision equipment is essential as many incidents occur in these environments when high security support is essential for first responders. We are requesting approval to purchase eight night vision monoculars for the St. Charles County Regional SWAT Team. Total cost will not exceed $22,413.

Chair
Alan Dunstan  
Chairman, Madison County Board

Vice Chair
Francis G. Slay  
Mayor, City of St. Louis

2nd Vice Chair
Mark A. Kern  
Chairman, St. Clair County Board

Executive Committee
Charlie A. Dooley  
County Executive  
St. Louis County

Steve Ehlmann  
County Executive  
St. Charles County

John Griesheimer  
President, Commissioners  
Franklin County

Ken Waller  
County Executive  
Jefferson County

Delbert Wittenaure  
Chairman, Board of Commissioners  
Monroe County

Members
John Hamm III  
President, Southwestern Illinois Metropolitan & Regional Planning Commission

Ted Hoskins  
St. Louis County

Teresa Kreitler  
Jefferson County

John Miller  
Vice President, Southwestern Illinois Council of Mayors

Jack Minner  
Madison County

Roy Mosley  
St. Clair County

Alvin L. Parks, Jr.  
Mayor, City of East St. Louis

Lewis Reed  
President, Board of Aldermen  
City of St. Louis

Thomas P. Schneider  
St. Louis County Air Board

Jim Vernier  
President, Southwestern Illinois Council of Mayors

John White  
St. Charles County

Regional Citizens
Richard Kellett
John A. Laker
Brandon Perry
James A. Pulley
Dave Stoecklin

Non-voting Members
Charles Ingersoll  
Illinois Department of Transportation

Kevin Keith  
Missouri Department of Transportation

Edie Koch  
Illinois Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity

John Nations  
Metro

Doug Nelson  
Missouri Office of Administration

Executive Director
Ed Hillhouse

Assistant Executive Director
James M. Wild
2. **Critical Response Teams – Urban Search and Rescue (USAR)**

**Global Positioning System (GPS) Microphones** – GPS microphones work with portable radios that have a GPS capability within the radio. The microphone contains a small video screen that displays the radio’s position on a map while transmitting the GPS coordinates to a tracking computer at a command post where real-time location, way-point information, and the path of the operator can be displayed. We are requesting approval to purchase 65 GPS microphones for the region’s Urban Search and Rescue (USAR) teams. The Teams will use the GPS microphones during response to large scale disasters like tornado incidents. When the teams are assigned to wide-area searches for victims the street signs and other landmarks are typically gone or severely damaged. The GPS microphone provides the rescuer with a means of navigation while transmitting real-time data to the command post, thus providing an additional layer of safety and accountability of the rescuer. Total cost will not exceed $46,903.

**Swimmer’s Dry Suits** – We are requesting approval to purchase 14 Kokatat Dry Suits for the Swift Water Rescue Teams. Total cost will not exceed $14,465.

**Emergency Lighting Package** – We are requesting approval to purchase five Emergency Lighting packages consisting of vehicle emergency light bars, flashing headlights, and LED flashing grill lights for the five USAR vans that were approved in October 2012. Total costs will not exceed $20,860.

3. **Emergency Management**

**Video Reconnaissance Project** – Last year staff received approval to enter into a contract with the Vislink Group to install video monitoring equipment in the Metro Air Support aircraft. This began the first phase of the project which provides the region with an airborne video reconnaissance capability for first responder and emergency management agencies across the region. Phase 2 of the project will place long range receivers at public safety sites in St. Charles and St. Louis Counties in Missouri. This will allow live streaming video signals from the aircraft to be shared securely via the internet and viewed in one or more regional local Emergency Operations Centers during a major incident. Therefore, we are requesting approval to provide a sub-award grant to St. Charles County for the purchase and installation of video receivers from the Vislink Group. The total cost will not exceed $203,000.

4. **Medical Surge**

**Hospital Emergency Communications** – When a major incident occurs that impacts one or more hospitals it is important that communications links are
established between the first responder agencies and the hospitals. Therefore, we are requesting approval to purchase radio equipment for Missouri hospitals, emergency medical service (EMS) agencies and the Central County 911 Dispatch Center in St. Louis County. Once purchased this equipment will provide communication redundancy and allow hospitals to integrate with EMS and emergency management agencies on the regional land mobile radio network when it becomes available and the Missouri Statewide Wireless Interoperable Network. Therefore, we are requesting approval to purchase 60 portable radios ($257,403) and 24 mobile radios ($95,774) for a total cost that will not exceed $353,177.

Funds for this purchase will come from the Missouri ASPR grant program which can not be used in Illinois. However, the Illinois hospitals receive ASPR funding from the State of Illinois Department of Health and have purchased similar radios for their hospitals and associated healthcare response partners.

5. Port Security

The St. Louis Area Maritime Security Committee oversees the distribution funds from the Department of Homeland Security, Port Security grant program. To enhance the capabilities of the local and state agencies that respond to critical incidents within the Port of St. Louis1, we are seeking authorization to purchase the following equipment:

Video Wall System - As part of the project of enhancing the City of St. Louis Emergency Operations Center (EOC) to provide a single location for command and control during response to an incident within the Port of St. Louis1, we are requesting approval to purchase a Video Wall System that will display video images captured at incidents within the port. Total cost will not exceed $119,895.

Swimmer’s Dry Suits – We are requesting approval to purchase 22 Kokatat Dry Suits for regional response agencies in the City of St. Louis, Jefferson County, Missouri and St. Clair County, Illinois. Total cost will not exceed $22,730.

Chemical Protection Face Masks – We are requesting approval to purchase 15 Avon Chemical Protective Face Masks for the Jefferson County Sheriff’s Department. Total Cost will not exceed $14,925.

---

1 Defined by the U.S. Army Corp of Engineers, the Port of St. Louis extends 70 miles along both banks of the Mississippi River from the southern boundary of Jefferson County, Missouri at Mississippi River Mile 138.8 (above the Ohio River) to the northern boundary of Madison County, Illinois at River Mile 208.8.
Inflatable rescue boat and Tow Vehicle – We are requesting approval to purchase an inflatable rescue boat ($51,259) with a Ford F350 Tow Vehicle with a service utility bed ($47,790) for St. Clair County Illinois Emergency Services. Total cost will not exceed $99,049.

Tow Vehicle – We are requesting approval to purchase a Ford F350 Tow Vehicle for the Illinois Department of Natural Resources. Total cost will not exceed $38,465.

All of the purchases described in this memo are being made in accordance with the agency’s procurement policy.

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Board approve the expenditure of funds as follows:

- for the purchase of the Ultra 8500 Airborne Thermal Imager surveillance camera from FLIR Systems, Inc., in an amount not to exceed $241,396;
- for the purchase of eight PVS-14 Night Vision Monoculars from Kiesler's Police Supply, Inc. in an amount not to exceed $22,413;
- for the purchase of 65 GPS microphones from the Warner Communications Corporation in an amount not to exceed $46,903;
- for the purchase of 14 Dry Suits from Kokatat, Inc. in an amount not to exceed $14,465;
- for the purchase of five Emergency Lighting packages consisting of vehicle emergency light bars, flashing headlights, and LED flashing grill lights from the Warner Communications Corporation in an amount not exceed $20,860;
- for the sub-award to St. Charles County for the costs associated with the purchase and installation of long range receivers from VISLINK Group, North Billerica MA in an amount not to exceed $203,000;
- for the purchase of 60 portable radios with accessories from Motorola Solutions in an amount not to exceed $257,403;
- for the purchase of 24 mobile radios with accessories from radios from Motorola Solutions in an amount not to exceed $95,774;
• for the purchase of a Video Wall System from Americon in an amount not to exceed $119,895;

• for the purchase of 22 Dry Suits from Kokatat, Inc. in an amount not to exceed $22,730;

• for the purchase of 15 Avon Chemical Protective Face Masks from Simmons Law Enforcement in an amount not to exceed $14,925;

• for the purchase of an Inflatable Rescue Boat from MilPro Marine in an amount not to exceed $51,259;

• for the purchase of a Ford F350 Tow Vehicle with a service utility bed from Dave Sinclair Ford in an amount not to exceed $47,790; and

• for the purchase of a Ford F350 Tow Vehicle from Reuther Ford in an amount not to exceed $38,465,

for a total amount not to exceed $1,197,278 from the ASPR, Port Security and UASI grant programs.
## ATTACHMENT A
### Expenditures for Equipment and Services
March 12, 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Jurisdiction/Agency</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emergency Response Equipment (UASI)</strong></td>
<td>FLIR Systems, Inc. (North Billerica, MA)</td>
<td>Thermal Imager</td>
<td>St. Louis County</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$241,396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Keisler's Police Supply (Jeffersonville, IN)</td>
<td>Night vision monoculars</td>
<td>St. Charles County</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$22,413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Warner Communications (St. Louis, MO)</td>
<td>GPS microphones</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>$46,903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kokatat, Inc. (Arcata, CA)</td>
<td>Drysuits</td>
<td>Franklin County</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$14,465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Warner Communications (St. Louis, MO)</td>
<td>Emergency lighting packages</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$20,860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>St. Charles County, MO</td>
<td>Subaward - video downlink</td>
<td>St. Charles County, St. Louis County</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$203,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emergency Response Equipment (ASPR)</strong></td>
<td>Motorola Solutions (St. Louis, MO)</td>
<td>Portable radios</td>
<td>MO Portion of the Region</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>$257,403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Motorola Solutions (St. Louis, MO)</td>
<td>Mobile radios</td>
<td>MO Portion of the Region</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>$95,774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emergency Response Planning (Port Security)</strong></td>
<td>Americon (Camarillo, CA)</td>
<td>Video wall system</td>
<td>St. Louis City</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$119,895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kokatat, Inc. (Arcata, CA)</td>
<td>Drysuits</td>
<td>St. Louis City, Jefferson County, St. Clair County</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>$22,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Simmons Law Enforcement (Lee’s Summit, MO)</td>
<td>Chemical protective face masks</td>
<td>Jefferson County</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>$14,925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MilPro Marine (Grand Rapids, MI)</td>
<td>Inflatable rescue boat</td>
<td>St. Clair County</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$51,259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dave Sinclair Ford (St. Louis, MO)</td>
<td>Tow vehicle</td>
<td>St. Clair County</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$47,790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reuther Ford (St. Louis, MO)</td>
<td>Tow vehicle</td>
<td>Illinois Department of Natural Resources</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$38,465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL EXPENDITURES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$1,197,278</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total UASI Expenditures:** $549,037  
**Total ASPR Expenditures:** $353,177  
**Total PSGP Expenditures:** $295,064

Categories:
1. Critical Response Teams - Law Enforcement  
2. Critical Response Teams - Fire  
3. Emergency Management  
4. Medical Surge  
5. Port Security
ATTACHMENT B
Cumulative Budgeted Expenditures for Major Projects under Urban Areas Security Initiative through Fiscal Year 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Budgeted</th>
<th>Prior amount approved by EWG Board</th>
<th>This request</th>
<th>Remaining to be approved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hazmat / HR</td>
<td>$16,540,444</td>
<td>$16,179,194</td>
<td>$82,228</td>
<td>$279,022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass Casualty Incident</td>
<td>$1,028,000</td>
<td>737,790</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>290,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Teams</td>
<td>1,836,000</td>
<td>1,337,427</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>498,573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misc equipment:</td>
<td>5,647,507</td>
<td>5,090,347</td>
<td>263,809</td>
<td>293,351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tactical vehicles:</td>
<td>4,194,668</td>
<td>4,194,668</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio Plan:</td>
<td>674,300</td>
<td>674,300</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Virtual EOC</td>
<td>5,422,438</td>
<td>5,075,534</td>
<td>203,000</td>
<td>143,904</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Critical Response Teams**

A key goal under the UASI Strategy is to strengthen our critical response teams. We have largely accomplished this goal with hazardous materials and heavy rescue equipment and training. These teams are capable of responding to terrorist attacks, industrial accidents or natural disasters like earthquakes and tornadoes. Another element of critical response includes medical supplies for mass casualty incidents. The MCI trailers represent the first stage of meeting this need for the EMS community. Also included is equipment for Incident Management Teams that will consist of emergency responders from all disciplines. These mobile teams are activated to support emergency responders managing an event where the event continues over many hours or days.

**Law Enforcement Tactical Team Equipment**

There are 7 law enforcement tactical response units in the region which need communications, tactical lights and personal protective equipment. Three of the teams will receive tactical vehicles and Metro Air Support will receive a helicopter and other equipment to support response to a variety of terrorist incidents.

**Interoperable Communications**

A variety of projects come within the description of Interoperable Communications. Radio caches, satellite phones and video conferencing and the Land Mobile Radio Communications Plan are included, as well as a microwave tower backbone system.

**The Virtual EOC**

The virtual EOC strengthens regional collaboration on a day to day basis through a web based interactive network that links the region's eight EOC's and numerous other users for planning, preparing for and responding to an incident. In future years we hope to add a robust Geographic Information System capability.
ATTACHMENT B
Cumulative Budgeted Expenditures for Major Projects under Urban Areas Security Initiative through Fiscal Year 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Total Budgeted</th>
<th>Prior amount approved by EWG Board</th>
<th>This request</th>
<th>Remaining to be approved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Patient Tracking</td>
<td>$2,422,320</td>
<td>$2,422,320</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Universal ID Project</td>
<td>557,812</td>
<td>557,812</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expand Public Health Capabilities</td>
<td>2,788,052</td>
<td>2,434,631</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>353,421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass Casualty Equipment, Medical Supplies and Software for Hospitals</td>
<td>2,399,599</td>
<td>1,901,629</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>497,970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disaster Incident Management System for Hospitals and Tactical Response</td>
<td>$1,831,624</td>
<td>$1,604,500</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$227,124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Emergency Patient Tracking**

Patient Tracking allows emergency medical services and hospitals to rapidly enter data about a patient into a secure wireless web-based tracking system. The data includes identification, triage condition and transport information and allows the hospitals to balance patient loads and provide information to families.

**Universal ID Project**

This system provides a uniform identification card for fire, law enforcement and volunteers with credential information embedded in the card.

**Expand Public Health Capabilities**

Local public health agencies are working to prepare the region and protect citizens and first responders in the event of bioterrorism and natural diseases. Work is underway to establish an automated syndromic surveillance system for the early detection of naturally occurring or man made disease outbreaks.

**Mass Casualty Equipment, Medical Supplies and Software for Hospitals**

Hospitals are preparing the region for a response to a medical surge or mass casualty incident (MCI) by staging emergency response trailers that are equipped with medical supplies, cots and bedding at selected hospitals for deployment anywhere in the St. Louis region. In addition, the hospitals will dispense medicine to employees, their families and patients in the event of a large-scale bioterrorist or naturally occurring illness. The hospitals have software that will help with the dispensing of this medicine and the management of an MCI when it occurs.

**Disaster Incident Management System for Hospitals and Tactical Response**

The disaster incident management software system provides a tactical incident management capability for hospitals and response teams that includes federally required forms and plans. For the hospital systems it also includes a regional bed tracking capability.
### ATTACHMENT B
Cumulative Budgeted Expenditures for Major Projects under Urban Areas Security Initiative through Fiscal Year 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Total Budgeted</th>
<th>Prior amount approved by EWG Board</th>
<th>This request</th>
<th>Remaining to be approved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Terrorism Early Warning Center</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The TEW is operated by the St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department and the St. Louis County Police Department and serves as a central clearinghouse for information and intelligence to help detect and prevent acts of terrorism.</td>
<td>2,165,582</td>
<td>$1,298,798</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$866,784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Citizen Preparedness</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This program includes Citizen Emergency Response Teams and other similar teams designed to educate the public about disaster preparedness and train them to assist their neighbors. Expenditures include equipment and training to help citizens learn to respond to hazards as part of a team in their neighborhood or workplace, and public information. The program also includes the sheltering project which brings generators and shelters into the region to protect citizens who need shelter.</td>
<td>$2,292,718</td>
<td>2,061,155</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>231,563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Regional Coordination Planning</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Includes regional emergency coordination planning, mutual aid improvements, public information and enhancements to critical infrastructure protection.</td>
<td>1,486,996</td>
<td>899,910</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>587,086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exercises</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two regional exercises occurred on August 9-10 2006 at Busch Stadium and Olivette. In addition, Community Emergency Response Teams (CERT) exercises were added in FY06.</td>
<td>455,000</td>
<td>371,500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>83,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most disciplines have received and will continue to attend training activities to enhance their skills. Included are heavy rescue, hazmat, incident management teams, law enforcement, public health and hospitals.</td>
<td>3,535,608</td>
<td>3,193,062</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>342,546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td>$71,184,413</td>
<td>$65,745,224</td>
<td>$549,037</td>
<td>$4,890,152</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 This total represents the sum of UASI funds awarded for equipment and contractual obligations for fiscal years 2003 - 2012. The schedule represents the cumulative amount spent, from both open and closed grants, on major projects since the inception of the Homeland Security Grant Program.